

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT JOHNSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

MIKE PATEL,

Defendant.

No. 2:14-cv-2052 KJM DB PS

ORDER

Plaintiff Scott Johnson is proceeding through counsel. On June 13, 2019, defendant’s attorney was permitted to withdraw and this case was reassigned to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). (ECF No. 72.)

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, September 27, 2019, at 10:00 a.m.** at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27 before the undersigned;
2. Both parties are required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a party may not appear telephonically over a cellphone.

1           3. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before **September 13, 2019**, and  
2 defendant shall file and serve a status report on or before **September 20, 2019**. Each party's  
3 status report shall address all of the following matters:

- 4           a. Possible joinder of additional parties;  
5           b. Possible amendment of the pleadings;  
6           c. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;  
7           d. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including  
8 disclosure of expert witnesses;  
9           e. Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off  
10 dates for discovery and for law and motion, and the scheduling of a  
11 final pretrial conference and trial;  
12           f. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules  
13 due to the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;  
14           g. Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in  
15 bankruptcy;  
16           h. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned  
17 to this matter acting as settlement judge, waiving any  
18 disqualification by virtue of her so acting, or whether they prefer to  
19 have a Settlement Conference before another magistrate judge;  
20           i. Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious  
21 disposition of this action.

22           4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the  
23 status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110  
24 and 183.

25 DATED: August 8, 2019

26 /s/ DEBORAH BARNES  
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
28