1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
7		
8 9	JOANN N. MARTINELLI, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. 2:14-cv-02055 MCE-KJN
10	Plaintiff,	RELATED CASE ORDER
11	V.	
12	MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,	
13	et al., Defendants.	
14	Deferidants.	
15	PAUL SAUSMAN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. 2:14-cv-02072 MCE-KJN
16	Plaintiff,	
17	V.	
18	MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,	
19	et al.,	
20	Defendants.	
21	SSUCHIA CHEN, individually and on	No. 2:14-cv-02105 TLN-EFB
22	behalf of all others similarly situated,	
23	Plaintiff,	
24	V.	
25	MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC., et al.,	
26	Defendants	
27		
28		1
		•

1	KENT OLDHAM, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. 2:14-cv-02130 WBS-DAD
2	·	NO. 2.14-CV-02130 WD3-DAD
3	Plaintiff,	
4	V.	
5	MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC., et al.,	
6	Defendants	
7		
8	SPECIAL SITUATIONS FUND III QP, L.P., AND SPECIAL SITUATIONS	No. 2:14-cv-02571 KJM-EFB
9	CAYMAN FUND, L.P., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
10	Plaintiffs,	
11	V.	
12	MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,	
13	et al.,	
14	Defendants	
15	The Court has received the Notice of Related Case filed on November 4, 2014.	
16	Examination of the above-entitled civil actions reveals that these actions are	
17	related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve	
18	many of the same defendants and are based on the same or similar claims, the same	
19	property transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the same questions of law,	
20	and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.	
21	Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a	
22	substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.	
23	The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely	
24	has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the	
25	action is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally	
26	assigned to the district judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was	
27	assigned.	
28	///	

1	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above-captioned actions are reassigned		
2	to Chief Judge Morrison C. England, Jr. and Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman for al		
3	further proceedings, and any dates currently set in the reassigned cases only are hereby		
4	VACATED. The Clerk of the Court is to issue an Order Requiring Joint Status Report.		
5	Further, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as		
6	follows:		
7	1. Chen v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc., et al., No. 2:14-cv-2105-MCE-KJN		
8	2. Oldham v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc., et al., No. 2:14-cv-02130-MCE-KJN		
9	3. <u>Special Situations Fund III QP, L.P. et al. v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc., et al., No. 2:14-cv-02571-MCE-KJN</u>		
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate		
12	adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.		
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
14	Dated: December 1, 2014		
15	Moun Me.		
16	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
17	ONITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			