1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL CLARK,	No. 2:14-cv-2066-KJM-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	
15	CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in this civil action. The matter was	
19	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On April 3, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed objections to	
23	the findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
26	See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed	
27	the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
28	the proper analysis.	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed April 3, 2015, are adopted in full;	
3	2. This action is remanded to the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the	
4	County of Sacramento; and	
5	3. The Clerk is directed to close this case and terminate all pending motions.	
6	DATED: June 11, 2015.	
7	$1 \sim 0 \sim 1 \sim 1$	
8	Amele	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26		
27		
28	2	