

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOANN N. MARTINELLI, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,  
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-02055 MCE-KJN

**RELATED CASE ORDER**

PAUL SAUSMAN, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,  
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-02072 MCE-KJN

SSUCHIA CHEN, individually and on  
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,  
et al.,

Defendants

No. 2:14-cv-02105 TLN-EFB

1 KENT OLDHAM, individually and on  
2 behalf of all others similarly situated,  
3  
4 Plaintiff,  
5  
6 v.  
7 MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,  
8 et al.,  
9  
10 Defendants

No. 2:14-cv-02130 WBS-DAD

11 SPECIAL SITUATIONS FUND III QP,  
12 L.P., AND SPECIAL SITUATIONS  
13 CAYMAN FUND, L.P., individually and  
14 on behalf of all others similarly situated,  
15  
16 Plaintiffs,  
17  
18 v.  
19 MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS, INC.,  
20 et al.,  
21  
22 Defendants

No. 2:14-cv-02571 KJM-EFB

23 The Court has received the Notice of Related Case filed on November 4, 2014.

24 Examination of the above-entitled civil actions reveals that these actions are  
25 related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve  
26 many of the same defendants and are based on the same or similar claims, the same  
27 property transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the same questions of law,  
28 and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.  
Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to effect a  
substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely  
has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the  
action is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally  
assigned to the district judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was  
assigned.

///

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the above-captioned actions are reassigned  
2 to Chief Judge Morrison C. England, Jr. and Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman for all  
3 further proceedings, and any dates currently set in the reassigned cases only are hereby  
4 VACATED. The Clerk of the Court is to issue an Order Requiring Joint Status Report.  
5 Further, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as  
6 follows:

- 7 1. Chen v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc., et al., No. 2:14-cv-2105-MCE-KJN
- 8 2. Oldham v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc., et al.,  
9 No. 2:14-cv-02130-MCE-KJN
- 10 3. Special Situations Fund III QP, L.P. et al. v. Marrone Bio Innovations,  
11 Inc., et al., No. 2:14-cv-02571-MCE-KJN

11 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate  
12 adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: December 1, 2014

15   
16 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE  
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT