

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOANN N. MARTINELLI, Individually
and on Behalf of All Others Similarly
Situating,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2: 14-cv-02055-MCE-KJN

RELATED CASE ORDER

PAUL SAUSMAN, Individually and on
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARRONE BIO INNOVATIONS INC.,
et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-02072-MCE-KJN

///

1 The Court has received the Notice of Related Case filed on September 9, 2014.
2 Examination of the above-entitled civil actions reveals that these actions are related
3 within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve many of
4 the same defendants and are based on the same or similar claims, the same property
5 transaction or event, similar questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would
6 therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.


7 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely
8 has the result that both actions are assigned to the same judge; no consolidation of the
9 action is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally
10 assigned to the district judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
11 assigned.

12 For the reasons set forth above, the Court finds that Sausman v. Marrone Bio
13 Innovations, Inc. et al (2:14-cv-02072-MCE-KJN) and Martinelli v. Marrone Bio
14 Innovations, Inc. et al (2:14-cv-02055-MCE-KJN) ARE DESIGNATED as related actions
15 as defined by Local Rule 123(a). However, given that both actions are already assigned
16 to the same judges, no reassignment is necessary.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: October 7, 2014

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT