

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SCOTT MARSHALL PENNEBAKER,
Plaintiff,
v.
SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF, et
al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-2147 AC P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a former inmate at the Sacramento County Jail, commenced this action on September 16, 2014, by filing a civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. On September 25, 2014, plaintiff filed a notice of change of address,¹ ECF No. 5, and consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned United States Magistrate Judge for all purposes,² ECF No. 6.

On January 20, 2015, this court granted plaintiff’s request to proceed in forma pauperis and dismissed plaintiff’s complaint with leave to file an amended complaint within thirty days. ECF No. 7. Plaintiff was informed that failure to file an amended complaint would result in the dismissal of this action. Id. at 6. The court’s order was served on plaintiff at his address of

////

¹ The change of address indicates that plaintiff is no longer incarcerated.


² See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c); Local Rule 305(a).

1 record. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at a party's record address is fully
2 effective.

3 More than thirty days have passed since the filing of the court's order. Plaintiff has not
4 filed an amended complaint, submitted a further notice of change of address, or otherwise
5 communicated with the court; it appears that plaintiff has abandoned this case.

6 Accordingly, for good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is
7 dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). The Clerk of
8 Court is directed to close this case.

9 DATED: March 3, 2015

10 
11 _____
12 ALLISON CLAIRE
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28