

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JAMES TROTTER,
Petitioner,
v.
KAMALA D. HARRIS,
Respondent.

No. 2:14-cv-2167 DAD P

ORDER

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis.

The application attacks a judgment of conviction issued by the Los Angeles County Superior Court. While both the Fresno Division of this court and the United States District Court for the Central District of California have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner’s application are more readily available in Los Angeles County. Id. at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

/////
/////
/////
/////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, in the furtherance of justice, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. This court has not ruled on petitioner’s application to proceed in forma pauperis; and
2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California.

Dated: October 6, 2014



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:9:mp
trot2167.108