ľ

			l
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RAM SING,	No. 2:14-cv-02170-MCE-GGH	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	٧.	ORDER	
14	MINERAL COUNTY, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16]	
17	On September 18, 2014, Plaintiff I	Ram Sing ("Plaintiff"), proceeding pro se,	
18	brought this civil action arising out of his purportedly illegal prosecution by officials in		
19	Mineral County, Nevada. Compl., ECF N	No. 1. On February 26, 2013, the Court	
20	dismissed Plaintiff's Complaint in its entir	rety. Order, ECF No. 13. Plaintiff filed a Notice	
21	of Appeal on November 6, 2014. ECF N	o. 15. On November 12, 2014, the United	
22	States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Cire	cuit referred the matter back to this Court for the	
23	limited purpose of determining whether F	Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should	
24	continue on appeal or whether that status	s should be revoked because the appeal is	
25	frivolous or taken in bad faith. ECF No. 7	18; <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).	
26	Plaintiff's appeal is not taken in go	ood faith because he fails to present an	
27	"arguable basis in fact or law" to support	his position. <u>O'Loughlin v. Doe</u> , 920 F.2d 614,	
28	617 (9th Cir. 1990). Indeed, the Court al	ready dismissed Plaintiff's claims as frivolous	
		1	l

1	once. See ECF No. 13. Plaintiff sought to enjoin his criminal prosecution in Nevada.	
2	Accordingly, this Court lacked personal jurisdiction over at least the county Defendant.	
3	ECF No. 5 at 3. Similarly, venue is improper in this Court. Id. Finally, even if those	
4	obstacles did not sufficiently preclude Plaintiff's claims, they are also barred by the	
5	Younger abstention doctrine. Id. at 3-4 (citing Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971)).	
6	Accordingly, for a number of reasons, both the complaint filed in this Court and Plaintiff's	
7	current appeal are frivolous.	
8	Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status is thus REVOKED. The Clerk of the Court is	
9	ordered to TRANSMIT a copy of this Order to the Clerk of the Court of the United States	
10	Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit for filing on the docket of Case No. 14-17224.	
11	Plaintiff's Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (ECF No. 19) is DENIED.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: November 25, 2014	
14	Alon In Ci	
15	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	2	