1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9   FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11   CONNOR ALBERT DAVIS,   No. 2:14-cv-2182 WBS DAD P

12   Plaintiff,

13   v.   FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

14   CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
     CORRECTIONS AND
15   REHABILITATION,

16   Defendants.

17

18   By an order filed March 23, 2015, plaintiff was ordered to file an in forma pauperis

19   affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fee within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so

20   would result in a recommendation for dismissal of this action without prejudice. The thirty-day

21   period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed an in

22   forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate filing fee.

23   Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without

24   prejudice.

25   These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge

26   assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days

27   after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

28   with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings

                                               1

1   and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the

2   specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951

3   F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4   Dated: May 15, 2015

5

6   DALE A. DROZD
                               UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7   DAD:9
     davi2182.fifp

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28