

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARVIN GILLAM & PAMALA
GILLAM,

 Plaintiffs,

 v.

CITY OF VALLEJO, et al.,

 Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-2217-KJM-KJN PS

ORDER

On April 2, 2015, the court granted plaintiffs a further extension of time to obtain counsel and continued the temporary stay of formal discovery and motion practice until a scheduling order or other appropriate order issues. (ECF No. 16.) However, the court’s order made clear that “[w]hether or not plaintiffs successfully retain an attorney, plaintiffs and defendants shall meet and confer, and then file an updated joint status report with proposed dates for scheduling the case no later than June 1, 2015.” (Id.)

On June 1, 2015, defendants filed a separate status report, indicating that the parties could not agree on a proposed schedule for the case, and that plaintiffs would apparently be submitting their own status report. (ECF No. 19.) However, plaintiffs failed to file any status report by the required deadline. Nor did they timely request an extension of time to comply with the court’s order.

///

