

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

SHARIDAN STILES, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

v.

WALMART, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-CV-2234-MCE-DMC

ORDER

AND RELATED COUNTER-ACTIONS

Plaintiffs, who are proceeding with retained counsel, bring this civil action alleging intellectual and antitrust claims. On November 19, 2018, the court directed the parties to submit a stipulated proposed protective order absent an “attorney’s eyes only” provision, pursuant to the court’s ruling on plaintiff’s discovery motion. On November 27, 2018, plaintiffs filed a proposed protective order (see Doc. 174). The proposed protective order, however, does not indicate whether defendants have stipulated to the provisions thereof as required by the court’s November 19, 2018, order. Within five days of the date of this order, plaintiffs shall submit a stipulated proposed protective order, with signatures of all counsel approving as to form and content, or defendants shall file a notice indicating their assent to terms of the proposed protective order submitted by plaintiffs on November 27, 2018. The parties are cautioned that failure to

1 comply with this order may result in the imposition of appropriate sanctions in the court's
2 discretion.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 Dated: November 28, 2018



6 DENNIS M. COTA
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28