

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 SHARIDAN L. STILES, et al.,

No. 2:14-cv-02234-KJM-DMC

12  
13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 WALMART INC., et al.,

16 Defendants.  
17

18 SHARIDAN L. STILES,

No. 2:19-CV-2145-TLN-AC

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 CVS PHARMACY INC.,

**RELATED CASE ORDER**

22 Defendant.  
23  
24

25 Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the  
26 meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, “both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same  
27 question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a  
28

1 substantial savings of judicial effort.” Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of  
2 these matters to the same judges is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is  
3 likely to be convenient for the parties.

4 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions  
5 to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related  
6 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was  
7 assigned.

8 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:19-CV-2145-TLN-AC is reassigned  
9 from Judge Troy L. Nunley to the undersigned and from Magistrate Judge Allison Claire to  
10 Magistrate Judge Dennis M. Cota. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned  
11 case shall be shown as: 2:19-CV-2145-KJM-DMC

12 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in  
13 the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: April 10, 2020.

16  
17   
18 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28