

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROSELYN HENSLEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

GRUPE COMMERCIAL COMPANY,
OAKRIDGE CENTER, TICH LUU
NGUYEN dba TOMMY'S CAFÉ, and
DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendant.

No. 2:14-cv-02273-GEB-AC

**ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT AND
DISPOSITION**

Plaintiff filed a "Notice of Settlement" on March 3, 2015, in which he states, "the lawsuit has been tentatively settled" and "requests thirty (30) days in which to file the dismissal in order to ensure that parties are able to perform under the terms of the settlement agreement." (Notice of Settlement, ECF No. 7.)

Therefore, a dispositional document shall be filed no later than April 2, 2015. Failure to respond by this deadline may be construed as consent to dismissal of this action without prejudice, and a dismissal order could be filed. See E.D. Cal. R. 160(b) ("A failure to file dispositional papers on the date prescribed by the Court may be grounds for sanctions.").

Further, the Status Conference scheduled for hearing on March 9, 2015, is continued to commence at 9:00 a.m. on April 20,

1 2015, in the event no dispositional document is filed, or if this
2 action is not otherwise dismissed.¹ A joint status report shall
3 be filed fourteen (14) days prior to the status conference.


4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: March 4, 2015

6

7

8



GARIAND E. BURRELL, JR.
Senior United States District Judge

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

¹ The status conference will remain on calendar, because the mere representation that a case has been settled does not justify vacating a scheduling proceeding. Cf. Callie v. Near, 829 F.2d 888, 890 (9th Cir. 1987) (indicating that a representation that claims have been settled does not necessarily establish the existence of a binding settlement agreement).