1	JOSE R. GARAY, ESQ., SBN 200494	
1	JGARAY@GARAYLAW.COM	
2	JOSE GARAY, APLC 9861 Irvine Center Drive	
3	Irvine, CA 92618	
4	T: (949) 208-3400 F: (949) 713-0432	
5	1. (949) 713-0432	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, JOSEPH TERRAS AND BOBBY TYLER on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SACRAMEN	
11	JOSEPH TERRAS, and BOBBY TYLER, as individual California	Case No.: 2:14-CV-02277-MCE-CMK
12	residents, on behalf of themselves and	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
12	all others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS TO FILE THEIR
13	Plaintiffs,	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
15	VS.	[Fed. R. Civ. P. 15; E.D. Cal. Local R. 137, 143 and 220]
16	TRINITY RIVER LUMBER	
17	COMPANY, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr.
18		Date Filed: September 30, 2014 Trial Date: NONE SET
19	Defendant.	Trial Date: NONE SET
20		-
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		
	1 STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE THEIR FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT	

WHEREAS, On September 30, 2014, Plaintiffs JOSEPH TERRAS, and BOBBY TYLER (collectively "Plaintiffs"), as individual California residents, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a Complaint asserting a hybrid putative class and collective action against Defendant TRINITY RIVER LUMBER COMPANY ("Defendant"), a California corporation.

WHEREAS, On December 15, 2014, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss, For A More Definite Statement and to Strike the Complaint ("Motion"), that is presently set for hearing on February 19, 2015.

WHEREAS, although Plaintiffs and Defendants ("Parties") have been
working together in a good faith attempt to resolve the issues raised by
Defendant's Motion and Plaintiffs have prepared a draft of their First Amended
Complaint, Defendant contends that the First Amended Complaint still has many
of the fatal deficiencies raised in its Motion, including the failure to allege facts
required for Plaintiffs' claims and allegations. The Parties are still working
towards resolving those disputed issues prior to the filing of the First Amended
Complaint.

WHEREAS, the Parties agree that the First Amended Complaint will be filed before the February 19, 2015 hearing date, and that Defendant shall have the right to file a response to the First Amended Complaint to raise any deficiencies it claims may still exist as to the First Amended Complaint and any subsequent amended pleading.

THEREFORE, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 15 and Civil Local Rules 220, 143 and 137, Plaintiffs and Defendant, through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to the following:

Plaintiffs shall file their First Amended Complaint prior to February
 19, 2015. A draft of the First Amended Complaint to be filed is attached hereto as

Exhibit A, and is subject to further modification based upon the discussions of the
 Parties to resolve their disputes regarding the First Amended Complaint. ;

2. The Parties agree that Plaintiffs have the right, subject to approval of the Court, to file a Second Amended Complaint, if necessary; and

3. Defendant agrees to withdraw its Motion to Dismiss, for a More Definite Statement and to Strike Plaintiffs' Complaint that is presently set for hearing on February 19, 2015, provided that Plaintiffs file their First Amended Complaint prior to that hearing date. Defendant retains the right to file a response, including another appropriate motion, to the First Amended Complaint, or to the Second Amended Complaint if one is filed. Defendant shall have 14 days after service of the First Amended Complaint (or service of a Second amended Complaint if one is filed) to file its response and/or another motion thereto. AGREED TO BY:

DATED: February 4, 2015 JOSE GARAY, APLC

///

///

3 STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE THEIR FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

## ORDER

In accordance with the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, Plaintiffs have leave to file a First Amended Complaint not later than February 18, 2015. In the meantime, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Motion for a More Definite Statement and Motion to Strike (ECF No. 8) is hereby withdrawn and the hearing date of February 19, 2015 is vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 11, 2015

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT