1 (COUNSEL LISTED ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE) 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 11 Case No.: 2:14-cv-02277-MCE-CMK 12 JOSEPH TERRAS, and BOBBY TYLER, as individual California 13 residents, on behalf of themselves and JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER all others similarly situated, 14 FOR PLAINTIFFS TO FILE THEIR SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT 15 Plaintiffs, 16 [Fed. R. Civ. P. 15; E.D. Cal. Local R. VS. 137, 143 and 220] 17 TRINITY RIVER LUMBER 18 COMPANY, a California corporation; Hon. Morrison C. England, Jr. 19 and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, 20 Date Filed: September 30, 2014 Defendant. Trial Date: NONE SET 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR PLAINTIFFS TO

FILE A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

	JOSE R. GARAY, ESQ., SBN 200494				
1	JGARAY@GARAYLAW.COM				
2	JOSE GARAY, APLC				
3	9861 Irvine Center Drive				
4	Irvine, CA 92618 T: (949) 208-3400				
5	F: (949) 713-0432				
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs				
7					
8					
9	Steven H. Gurnee, Bar No. 66056 Nicholas P. Forestiere, Bar No. 125118				
10	GURNEE MASON & FORESTIERE LLP				
11	2240 Douglas Boulevard, Suite 150				
12	Roseville, California 95661 Telephone (916) 797-3100				
13	Facsimile (916) 797-3131				
14	Wayne H. Marie, Bar No. 88850				
15	Patrick L Deedon, Bar No. 245490				
16	MARIE BURGESS & DEEDON P.O. Drawer 994607				
17	Redding, CA 96099				
18	Attorneys for Defendant				
19	Trinity River Lumber Company				
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	2				

WHEREAS, on September 30, 2014, Plaintiffs JOSEPH TERRAS, and BOBBY TYLER (collectively "Plaintiffs"), as individual California residents, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, filed a Complaint asserting a hybrid putative class and collective action against Defendant TRINITY RIVER LUMBER COMPANY ("Defendant"), a California corporation.

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2014, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss, For A More Definite Statement and to Strike the Complaint.

WHEREAS, on February 5, 2015, Plaintiffs and Defendant (the "Parties") filed A Stipulation and Order for Plaintiffs To File Their First Amended Complaint.

WHEREAS, on February 11, 2015, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint.

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2015, Defendant filed its Motion to Dismiss, For A More Definite Statement and to Strike the First Amended Complaint.

WHEREAS, on March 16, 2015 Plaintiffs files a Second Amended Complaint without leave of court instead of opposing Defendant's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint.

WHEREAS, on March 27, 2015 the Court issued a minute order striking Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint is currently the operative complaint.

WHEREAS, although the Parties have been working together in a good faith attempt to resolve the issues raised by Defendant's Motions and Plaintiffs have prepared a draft of their Second Amended Complaint, Defendant contends that the Second Amended Complaint still has many of the fatal deficiencies raised in its Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint, including the failure to allege facts required for Plaintiffs' claims and allegations. The Parties are still working towards resolving those disputed issues prior to the filing of the Second Amended Complaint.

1					
1	AGREED TO BY:				
2	DATED: May 19, 2015		IOSE GARAY APLC		
3	B111EB: 14tay 13, 2013		JOSE GIRCH, IN EC		
4		By:	/s/		
5		<i>D</i> _J .	JOSE GARAY, Attorney for Plaintiffs JOSEPH		
6			TERRAS and BOBBY TYLER		
7					
8 9	DATED: May 15, 2015		GURNEE MASON & FORESTIERE LLP		
10					
11		By:	/s/		
12			Steven H. Gurnee Nicholas P. Forestiere		
13			Attorneys for Defendant		
14			Trinity River Lumber Company		
15					
16	///				
17	///				
18	///				
19	///				
20	///				
21	///				
22	///				
23	///				
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27					
28	///		5		
	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR PLAINTIFFS TO				

ORDER

_
つ
,
Δ

In accordance with the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing, Plaintiffs have leave to promptly file a Second Amended Complaint. Upon the filing of the Second Amended Complaint, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, Motion for a More Definite Statement and Motion to Strike Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 23) is dropped without prejudice to Defendant's filing such a motion to the Second Amended Complaint. Defendants shall have 14 days after being served with the Second Amended Complaint to file its response to the Second Amended Complaint.

Given this stipulation and order, the order to show cause hearing scheduled for May 28, 2015 by Minute Order issued May 6, 2015 (ECF No. 26) is hereby vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 26, 2015

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR., CHIEF JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT