Anderson v. USDA et al. Doc. 10

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 EZELL ANDERSON, JR. Doing Business No. 2:14-cv-02307 JAM CKD As, Mom's Choice Meats, 13 Plaintiff, 14 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION FOR A v. 15 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; KEVIN ORDER 16 CONCANNON, Undersecretary for Food, Nutrition and Consumer Services; 17 UNITED STATES DEPARTMNT OF AGRICULTURE; JOCELYN KEH, Section 18 Chief, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Food and 19 Nutrition Service, United States Department of Agriculture, and 20 their successors in office, 2.1 Defendants. 22 23 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Ezell Anderson, 2.4 Jr.'s ("Plaintiff") Ex Parte Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") (Doc. #2). Defendant United States of America, on 25 26 behalf of the United States Department of Agriculture 27 <sup>1</sup> This motion was determined to be suitable for decision without 28 oral argument. E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(g). No hearing was scheduled.

1

("Defendant" or "USDA"), filed an opposition (Doc. #9). For the reasons stated below, Plaintiff's application for a TRO is DENIED.

OPINION

## A. Legal Standard

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65 provides authority to issue either preliminary injunctions or temporary restraining orders. A plaintiff seeking a preliminary injunction must demonstrate that it is "[1] likely to succeed on the merits, [2] that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, [3] that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and [4] that an injunction is in the public interest." Am. Trucking Ass'ns v. City of Los Angeles, 559 F.3d 1046, 1052 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, 129 S. Ct. 365, 374 (2008)). The requirements for a temporary restraining order are the same. Stuhlbarg Int'l Sales Co. v. John D. Brush & Co., 240 F.3d 832, 839 n. 7 (9th Cir. 2001). A TRO is an emergency measure, intended to preserve the status quo pending a fuller hearing on the injunctive relief requested, and the irreparable harm must therefore be clearly immediate. R. Civ. Proc. 65(b)(1).

## B. Analysis

Having reviewed Plaintiff's application for a TRO, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has failed to establish a likelihood of success on the merits. Plaintiff alleges that his procedural due process rights have been violated, because "after the initial disqualification Mom's Choice ha[d] to immediately cease transacting EBT transactions without recourse; the regulations

prohibit a stay of a disqualification due to trafficking pending both administrative and judicial review; and the rules and regulations bar Mom's Choice from obtaining compensation for the period during a wrongful disqualification." TRO at 2.

This argument has been foreclosed by the Ninth Circuit. In Kim v. United States, the Ninth Circuit considered a nearly identical case, in which the USDA had permanently disqualified the plaintiff from participating in the food stamp program, following a charge of trafficking. Kim v. United States, 121 F.3d 1269, 1271 (9th Cir. 1997). The Ninth Circuit rejected Plaintiff's procedural due process argument: "Nor were Kim's procedural due process rights infringed. A trial de novo, in which the existence of a violation is examined afresh, and the parties are not limited in their arguments to the contents of the administrative record, satisfies the strictures of procedural due process." Kim, 121 F.3d at 1274. The Ninth Circuit's controlling decision in Kim clearly precludes Plaintiff from establishing a likelihood of success on the merits. For this reason, Plaintiff's application for a TRO is DENIED. This order does not affect Plaintiff's request for a preliminary injunction, and the parties may set a hearing date in compliance with the Local Rules.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 7, 2014

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28