1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	KEITH THOMAS,	No. 2:14-cv-2365 TLN CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	B. HAMKAR, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, who seeks relief	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 9, 2015, plaintiff's original complaint was dismissed	
19	for failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 9.) On February 19, 2015, plaintiff was granted leave to	
20	file an amended complaint. (ECF No. 14.) Plaintiff has filed an amended complaint, now before	
21	the court. (ECF No. 16.)	
22	The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a	
23	governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The	
24	court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally	
25	"frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek	
26	monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).	
27	Having reviewed the amended complaint, the undersigned concludes that it fails to cure	
28	the defects of the original complaint as discus	ssed in the January 9, 2015 screening order. Because
		1

1	it appears that another round of amendment would be futile, the undersigned will recommend	
2	dismissal of this action.	
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with	
4	prejudice for failure to state a claim.	
5	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
6	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
7	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
8	with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
9	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
10	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
11	(9th Cir. 1991).	
12	Dated: April 23, 2015 Carop U. Delany	
13	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
14	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	2 / thom2365.fac_fr	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	