

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LISA NIELSEN, and KURT NIELSEN,
Plaintiffs,
v.
GYRUS ACMI, LP, a Minnesota Limited
Partnership, and GYRUS ACMI, LLC, a
Minnesota Limited Liability Company,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-2375 DAD

ORDER

Each of the parties in the above-captioned case has consented to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge. See U.S.C. § 636(c). Accordingly, this matter has been reassigned to the undersigned for all purposes.

Defendants have filed an answer. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, March 27, 2015, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.
2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the

1 courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours
2 before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be
3 provided.

4 3. Plaintiffs shall file and serve a status report on or before **March 13, 2015**, and
5 defendants shall file and serve a status report on or before **March 20, 2015**. Each party's status
6 report shall address all of the following matters:

- 7 a. Progress of service of process;
- 8 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 9 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 10 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 11 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 12 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including disclosure of
13 expert witnesses;
- 14 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off dates for
15 discovery and for law and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial
16 conference and trial;
- 17 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to
18 the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;
- 19 i. Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in
20 bankruptcy;
- 21 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to this
22 matter acting as settlement judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of
23 his so acting, or whether they prefer to have a Settlement Conference
24 before another magistrate judge; and
- 25 k. Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious disposition of
26 this action.

25 ////

26 ////

27 ////

28 ////

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110 and 183.

Dated: January 29, 2015



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:6
Ddad1\orders.consent\nielsen2375.oss.docx