

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL DOUGLAS KRUSE,
Petitioner,
v.
BARBARA MADSEN,
Respondents.

No. 2:14-cv-02393-KJN P

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On October 10, 2014, petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding without counsel, filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. By order filed November 14, 2014, petitioner’s application was dismissed and thirty days’ leave to file an amended application was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not filed an amended application or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign a district judge to this case.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written

1 objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s
2 Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the
3 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
4 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: January 12, 2015

6

7

krus2393.fta.hab

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE