(PC) Fisher v. Ro	pukley	Doo
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GARY FISHER,	) Case No.: 1:14-cv-01576-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	) )
13	V.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN
14	R. J. ROUKLEY, et al.,	) DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15	Defendants.	
16		) )
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
19	In his complaint, Plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged	
20	violations took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United	
21	States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been	
22	filed in the Sacramento Division.	
23	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper	
24	court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be	
25	transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in	
26	forma pauperis.	
27	///	
28	///	
		1

Doc. 3