

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BAJA INSURANCE SERVICES, INC., a
California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SHANZE ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a
BAJA AUTO INSURANCE, and DOES 1-
10,

Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-02423-KJM-AC

SHANZE ENTERPRISES, INC. D/B/A
BAJA AUTO INSURANCE,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN CASUALTY COMPANY
OF READING, PA,

Defendant.

No. 2:14-cv-002623-JAM-AC

RELATED CASE ORDER

Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the
meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, “both actions involve similar questions of fact and the same

1 question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a
2 substantial savings of judicial effort.” Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of
3 these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is
4 likely to be convenient for the parties.

5 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
6 to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
7 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
8 assigned.

9 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that 2:14-cv-02623-JAM-AC is reassigned
10 from John A. Mendez to the undersigned. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
11 reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:14-cv-02623-KJM-AC.

12 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in
13 the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: November 18, 2014.

16
17 
18 _____
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28