corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.			
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner, V. ORDER  KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al., Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 'diff the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	1		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner, V. Petitioner, Sespondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of has corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	2		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner, V. ORDER  REVIN CHAPPELL, et al., Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	3		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner,  v. ORDER  KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al., Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	4		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner,  V. ORDER  KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al., Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	5		
BOUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner, V. ORDER  Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	6		
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner, v. ORDER  Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	7		
HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU, Petitioner, V. ORDER  Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.) On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.) On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU,  Petitioner,  V.  ORDER  Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
Petitioner, v. KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al., Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	10		
V.  KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al.,  Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of ha corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	11	HARWINDER SINGH SANDHU,	No. 2:14-cv-2459 KJN P
KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al.,  Respondents.  Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of hat corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	12	Petitioner,	
Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of hat corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of hat corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	14	KEVIN CHAPPELL, et al.,	
Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of hat corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	15	Respondents.	
corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersign (ECF No. 4.)  On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	16		
On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	17	Petitioner is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a petition for writ of habeas	
On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner consented to the jurisdiction of the undersigned.	
the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	19	(ECF No. 4.)	
miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. (Id.)  On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "  if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.  § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	20	On January 12, 2015, the undersigned dismissed this action. (ECF No. 5.) In particular,	
On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of appealability. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 " if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	21	the undersigned found that petitioner's claim alleging that his plea agreement was violated by a	
appealabilty. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 " if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	22	miscalculation of his minimum eligible parole date was without merit. ( <u>Id.</u> )	
if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S. § 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to issue a certificate of appealability.	23	On January 26, 2015, petitioner filed a notice of appeal and a request for a certificate of	
<ul> <li>§ 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to</li> <li>issue a certificate of appealability.</li> </ul>	24	appealabilty. (ECF No. 7.) A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only	
27 issue a certificate of appealability.	25	if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.	
	26	§ 2253(c)(3). For the reasons stated in the January 12, 2015 order, the undersigned declines to	
20 ////	27	issue a certificate of appealability.	
28   ////	28	////	

## 

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. A certificate of appealability is not issued; and
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to process petitioner's appeal.

Dated: April 23, 2015

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

San2459.cer