

**CLAYEO C. ARNOLD,  
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION**

Clayeo C. Arnold, SBN 65070  
Anthony J. Poidmore, SBN 51346  
865 Howe Avenue  
Sacramento, CA 95825  
Telephone: (916) 777-7777  
Facsimile: (916) 924-1829  
Email: [apoidmore@justice4you.com](mailto:apoidmore@justice4you.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
JAIME SCHMIDT

TIMOTHY G. YEUNG (SBN 186170)  
STEVE CIKES (SBN 235413)  
[scikes@sloansakai.com](mailto:scikes@sloansakai.com)

**SLOAN SAKAI YEUNG & WONG LLP**  
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 600  
Sacramento, California 95814  
Telephone: (916) 258-8800  
Facsimile: (916) 258-8801  
Email: [tyeung@sloansakai.com](mailto:tyeung@sloansakai.com)  
[scikes@sloansakai.com](mailto:scikes@sloansakai.com)

Attorneys for Defendant  
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA,  
COUNTY OF SHASTA (erroneously sued herein as  
SHASTA COUNTY MARSHAL'S OFFICE)

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JAMIE SCHMIDT, DEBRA KNOWLES,  
ELIZABETH SAMPSON, AND RYAN  
HENRIOULLE,

Plaintiffs,  
vs.

SHASTA COUNTY MARSHAL'S OFFICE  
AND JOEL DEAN,

Defendants.

Case No.: 2:14-cv-02471-MCE

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
CONTINUING TRIAL AND RELATED  
DATES**

Current Trial Date: April 8, 2019  
Time: 9:00 AM  
Judge: Hon. Morrison England  
Crtrm: 7 ; 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

Proposed Trial Date: July 22, 2019  
Time: 9:00 AM  
Judge: Hon. Morrison England  
Crtrm: 7 ; 14<sup>th</sup> Floor

1 Plaintiff Jaime Schmidt (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant Superior Court, County of Shasta  
2 (“Defendant”), through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

3 WHEREAS, during the January 10, 2019 Final Pretrial Conference, the Court notified the  
4 parties that the February 25, 2019 trial start date previously set in this matter was no longer  
5 available and offered April 8, 2019 as a potential alternative trial date, which the parties ultimately  
6 selected.

7 WHEREAS, on January 17, 2019, the Court issued a Final Pretrial Order (ECF No. 99),  
8 confirming the April 8, 2019 trial date and stating that “the estimated length of trial is eight (8)  
9 days.” The Final Pretrial Order also set March 25, 2019 as the deadline for the parties to submit  
10 various pre-trial submissions and complete other pre-trial tasks.

11 WHEREAS, on February 21, 2019, the Court issued an Amended Final Pretrial Order  
12 (ECF No. 103), which did not alter or otherwise impact the April 8, 2019 trial date or the March  
13 25, 2019 deadline.

14 WHEREAS, counsel for Plaintiff has had a family matter arisen that conflicts with the  
15 April 8, 2019 trial start date.

16 WHEREAS, the Court has notified the parties that it could potentially continue the jury  
17 trial in this matter to July 22, 2019, but could only offer six (6) days for the presentation of  
18 evidence, rather than the currently allotted eight (8).

19 WHEREAS, to accommodate counsel for Plaintiff’s family matter, the parties wish to  
20 continue the jury trial in this matter to July 22, 2019, with the understanding that they will only  
21 be provided six (6) days for the presentation of evidence. However, the parties request that should  
22 any additional trial dates immediately preceding or following before or after July 22, 2019  
23 become available, they be provided those dates in order to bring the estimated trial length back to  
24 the originally allotted eight (8) days.

25 NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE THAT:

- 26 1. The trial date in this matter be continued from April 8, 2019 to July 22, 2019, with  
27 the understanding that the parties will only be provided six (6) days for the  
28 presentation of evidence; however but should any additional trial dates immediately

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

preceding or following July 22, 2019 become available, the parties request they be provided those dates in order to bring the estimated trial length back to the currently allotted eight (8) days.

- 2. The March 25, 2019 deadline set forth in the Court’s Amended Pretrial Order (ECF No. 103) for the parties to submit various pre-trial documents and complete other pre-trial tasks be continued to July 8, 2019.

Date: March 14, 2019

CLAYEO C. ARNOLD  
A Professional Law Corporation

By:           /s/ Anthony J. Poidmore            
Anthony J. Poidmore  
Attorney for Plaintiff Jaime Schmidt

Date: March 14, 2019

SLOAN SAKAI YEUNG & WONG LLP

By:           /s/ Steve Cikes            
Steve Cikes  
Attorney for Defendant Superior Court of  
California, County of Shasta

1 **ORDER**

2 Based on the parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby  
3 ordered that the jury trial in this matter be continued from April 8, 2019 to July 22, 2019 and  
4 that the parties will have six (6) days for the presentation of evidence. The March 25, 2019  
5 deadline set forth in the Court's Amended Final Pretrial Order (ECF No. 103) for the parties'  
6 submission of various pre-trial filings and related pre-trial tasks shall be continued to July 8,  
7 2019. In the event that any additional trial dates immediately preceding or following July 22,  
8 2019 become available, the Court will provide those dates to the parties in order to bring the  
9 estimated trial length in this case back to the originally allotted eight (8) days

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: March 20, 2019

12   
13 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28