1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:14-cv-02544-KJM-DB GENERAL CHARLES E. YEAGER 12 (RET.), an individual; VICTORIA YEAGER, an individual, 13 **ORDER** 14 Plaintiffs, 15 v. 16 PARSONS BEHLE & LATIMER, a 17 Limited Liability Law Partnership; JOHN ZARIAN, an individual; ZARIAN 18 MIDGELY, a Limited Liability Law 19 Partnership; KENNEDY LUVAI, an individual; and DOES 1-100, inclusive, 20 Defendants. 21 22 Victoria Yeager, who proceeds without separate representation in this action, 23 moves for leave to file electronically under Local Rule 183(c). ECF No. 67. Her motion is 24 GRANTED subject to the following conditions: 25 (1) Pro se litigants must follow the same procedural rules as litigants represented 26 by attorneys. King v. Atiyeh, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987), overruled on other grounds by 27 Lacey v. Maricopa Cty., 693 F.3d 896 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc); E.D. Cal. L.R. 183(a). 28 1

(2) Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 imposes an obligation on pro se litigants and attorneys alike to certify (a) that all motions and other papers submitted to the court are "not being presented for any improper purpose"; (b) that "legal contentions are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law"; and (c) that factual contentions and denials "have evidentiary support" or "are warranted on the evidence." Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(a)–(b).

This court has inherent authority and authority under federal law to impose sanctions for violations of its orders and for conduct in bad faith. *See, e.g., Fink v. Gomez*, 239 F.3d 989, 994 (9th Cir. 2001); Fed. R. Civ. P. 11(c); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110, 183, 184(a); Order April 17, 2015, at 2, ECF No. 176. Filings which do not comply with these rules may be stricken and appropriate sanctions may be imposed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 11, 2019.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE