

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 KAO SAELEE, et al.,

No. 2:14-cv-2625-TLN-AC

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

ORDER

14 BSI FIN. SERVS., et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

17 Both plaintiffs in this case are proceeding pro se. Defendants' motion to dismiss (ECF
18 No. 9) is currently on the court's Law & Motion calendar for February 18, 2015. However, the
19 court's most recent order (ECF No. 10) was returned as undeliverable to both plaintiffs. It thus
20 appears that plaintiffs have failed to keep the court advised of their current address, although
21 required to do so by E.D. Cal. R. 183(b) (authorizing dismissal for lack of prosecution if the
22 address is not updated within 63 days).

23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the hearing on defendants' motion is
24 CONTINUED to March 11, 2015, giving plaintiffs additional time to update the court with their
25 address, and also to file an opposition, or a statement of no opposition, to defendants' motion.


26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Plaintiffs are cautioned that failure to comply with the local rules, including Rule 183(b), or to
2 timely respond to the motion to dismiss, will result in a recommendation that this case be
3 dismissed for lack of prosecution.

4 DATED: December 22, 2014

5 
6 ALLISON CLAIRE
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28