

1 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 Amy M. Spicer (SBN 188399)
 2 One Market, Spear Street Tower
 San Francisco, CA 94105-1126
 3 Tel: 415.442.1000
 Fax: 415.963.1001
 4 E-mail: aspicer@morganlewis.com

5 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
 Joseph Duffy (SBN 241854)
 6 300 South Grand Avenue
 Twenty-Second Floor
 7 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132
 Tel: 213.612.2500
 8 Fax: 213.612.2501
 Email: jduffy@morganlewis.com

9 Attorneys for Defendant
 10 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.

11
 12
 13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 14 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO**
 15 **DIVISION**

16 VLADIMIR RIVKIN,

17
 18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., a
 New York association; FAY
 21 SERVICING LLC, a Delaware limited
 liability company; ALBERTELLI LAW
 22 PARTNERS CALIFORNIA, PA, a
 California corporation,, and DOES 1
 23 through 10,

24 Defendants.
 25

Case No. 14-2662-TLN-EFB

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND
 TIME FOR DEFENDANT
 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.
 TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
 AND ORDER**

1 Plaintiff Vladimir Rivkin (“Plaintiff”) and Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank,
2 N.A. (“JPMC” and with Plaintiff, the “Parties”), hereby enter into this Stipulation
3 to Extend Time for Defendant to Respond to Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint
4 with reference to the following facts:

5 **RECITALS**

6 A. On or about October 16, 2014, Plaintiff commenced an action in the
7 Superior Court for the County of Nevada entitled Rivkin v. JPMorgan Chase Bank,
8 N.A., et al., Case Number TCU14-5931 (the “State Court Action”).

9 B. On or around October 23, 2014, Plaintiff served the Summons and
10 First Amended Complaint on JPMC.

11 C. On November 14, 2014, JPMC timely removed the State Court Action
12 to this Court.

13 D. On November 25, 2014, the Parties entered into a stipulation to extend
14 the time for JPMC to respond to the First Amended Complaint to allow the Plaintiff
15 and co-defendant Fay Servicing LLC to engage in discussions regarding the then
16 pending Trustee’s Sale and in view of the possibility of Plaintiff filing a second
17 amended complaint.

18 E. On January 8, 2015, Plaintiff filed a request for leave to file a second
19 amended complaint. (Docket No. 21.)

20 F. On January 21, 2015, the Parties entered into a further stipulation to
21 extend the time for JPMC to respond to the First Amended Complaint in view of
22 Plaintiff’s pending request for leave to file a second amended complaint. (Docket
23 No. 25.) The Court entered an order approving the Parties’ stipulation on January
24 22, 2015. (Docket No. 26.) JPMC’s current deadline to respond to the First
25 Amended Complaint is February 19, 2015.

26 G. As of February 17, 2015, the Court has not yet ruled on Plaintiff’s
27 request for leave to file the second amended complaint.

28 H. In view of Plaintiff’s pending request for leave to amend the operative

1 complaint, the Parties have agreed to extend the time for JPMC to respond to
2 Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint to and including March 25, 2015.

3 I. This is the Parties' third request for an extension of time to respond to
4 the First Amended Complaint.

5 IT IS THEREFOR STIPULATED that JPMC shall have to and including
6 March 25, 2015 to respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.

7
8 Dated: February 17, 2015

FRANZ LAW

9
10 By: /s/ Pamela M. Schuur (as authorized
on February 17, 2015)
Pamela M. Schuur

11
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff
VLADIMIR RIVKIN

13 Dated: February 17, 2015

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP


14
15 By: /s/ Amy M. Spicer
Amy M. Spicer

16
17 Attorneys for Defendant
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.

18
19
20 **ORDER**

21
22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23
24 Dated: 2/18/2015

25
26 

Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge