1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Joseph Duffy, Bar No. 241854 jduffy@morganlewis.com Joseph Bias, Bar No. 257127 joseph.bias@morganlewis.com 300 South Grand Avenue Twenty-Second Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-3132 Tel: +1.213.612.2500 Fax: +1.213.612.2501 Attorneys for Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.		
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
10			
11	DIVI	SION	
12			
13	VLADIMIR RIVKIN,	Case No. 2:14-cv-02662-TLN-EFB	
14	Dlaintiff	STIPULATION TO EXTEND	
15	Plaintiff, vs.	TIME FOR DEFENDANT JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S	
16	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., a	SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND ORDER	
17	New York association; FAY SERVICING LLC a Delaware limited		
18	liability company; ALBERTELLI LAW PARTNERS CALIFORNIA, PA, a		
19	liability company; ALBERTELLI LAW PARTNERS CALIFORNIA, PA, a California corporation,, and DOES 1 through 10,		
20			
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
27			
28			
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW		STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTE	

DB2/30870614.1

LOS ANGELES

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO SAC CASE NO. 2:14-CV-02662-TLN-EFB Plaintiff Vladimir Rivkin ("Plaintiff") and Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMC" and with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), hereby enter into this Stipulation to Extend Time for Defendant to Respond to Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint with reference to the following facts:

RECITALS

- A. On or about October 16 2014, Plaintiff commenced an action in the Superior Court for the County of Nevada entitled Rivkin v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al., Case Number TCU14-5931 (the "State Court Action").
- B. On or around October 23, 2014, Plaintiff served the Summons and First Amended Complaint on JPMC.
- C. On November 14, 2014, JPMC timely removed the State Court Action to this Court.
- D. On November 25, 2014, the Parties entered into a stipulation to extend the time for JPMC to respond to the First Amended Complaint to allow the Plaintiff and co-defendant Fay Servicing LLC to engage in discussions regarding the then pending Trustee's Sale and in view of the possibility of Plaintiff filing a second amended complaint.
- E. On January 8, 2015, Plaintiff filed a request for leave to file a second amended complaint. (Docket No. 21.)
- F. On January 21, 2015, the Parties entered into a further stipulation to extend the time for JPMC to respond to the First Amended Complaint in view of Plaintiff's pending request for leave to file a second amended complaint. (Docket No. 25.) The Court entered an order approving the Parties' stipulation on January 22, 2015. (Docket No. 26.)
- G. The Parties then entered into four further stipulations to extend the time for JPMC to respond to the First Amended Complaint in view of Plaintiff's pending request for leave to file a second amended complaint and their ongoing

1	Dated December 1, 2016	FRANZ LAW
2		Dry /a/Day of M. Caleyya (as anthogical
3		By: /s/ Pamela M. Schuur (as authorized on December, 2016)
4		Pamela M. Schuur
5		Attorneys for Plaintiff VLADIMIR RIVKIN
6	Dated: December 1, 2016	MODCAN I FWIS & ROCKIUS I I D
7	Dated. December 1, 2010	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
8		By: /s/ Joseph Bias
9		By: /s/ Joseph Bias Joseph Duffy Joseph Bias
10		Attorneys for Defendant JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.
11		JI WOROAN CHASE DANK, N.A.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
ıs &		CTIDLIL ATION AND ODDED TO EVTEND

MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1 2	<u>ORDER</u>
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	II IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: December 5, 2016
6	Dated. December 5, 2010
7	Wantey
8	Troub Niveley
9	Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
41	

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

28