1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC., No. 2:14-cv-2667-JAM-EFB 11 Plaintiff, 12 STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) V. **ORDER** 13 LUIS ALONSO CABRERA, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 On August 26, 2015, this case was before the court for a status (pretrial scheduling) conference. Attorney Thomas Riley appeared on behalf of plaintiff; defendants Luis Cabrera 17 18 and Maria Cabrera appeared pro se. At the hearing, the parties stipulated to set aside defendant 19 Maria Cabrera's default, which was entered on June 3, 2015. ECF No. 11. The court approves 20 the parties' stipulation and sets aside Maria Cabrera's default. Further, after hearing and pursuant 21 to the parties' status reports (ECF Nos. 17, 18), the court enters the following scheduling order: 22 NATURE OF CASE 23 Plaintiff imitated this action on November 14, 2014, alleging four claims against defendants: (1) violation of the Federal Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. §§ 605 et seq.; 24 (2) violation of 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 et seq; (3) conversion; and (4) violation of California Business 25 26 ¹ This action, in which defendants are proceeding pro se, is before the undersigned 27 pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). See ECF No. 26; see also Local Rule 302(c)(21); 28 U.S.C. 28 § 636(b)(1). 1

and Professions Code §§ 17200 *et seq*. ECF No. 1. Plaintiff, a closed-circuit distributor of sports and entertainment programing, claims that defendants, individually and d/b/a Taqueria & Pupuseria Isabel, exhibited the "Clash in Cotai": Manny Pacquiao v. Brandon Rios, WBO Welterweight Championship Fight Program at an establishment owned and operated by defendants without the necessary commercial license.

SERVICE OF PROCESS

Service of process is undisputed.

JOINDER OF PARTIES/AMENDMENTS

No further joinder of parties or amendments to pleadings is permitted except with leave of court, good cause having been shown.

JURISDICTION/VENUE

Jurisdiction is not disputed and is hereby found to be proper. Venue is not disputed.

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULES

All law and motion, except as to discovery, shall be *completed* by April 27, 2016. The word "completed" in this context means that all law and motion matters must be heard by the above date. Counsel (and/or pro se parties)² are cautioned to refer to the Local Rules regarding the requirements for noticing such motions on the court's regularly scheduled law and motion calendar. This paragraph does not preclude motions for continuances, temporary restraining orders or other emergency applications, and is subject to any special scheduling set forth in the "MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS" paragraph below.

The parties should keep in mind that the purpose of law and motion is to narrow and refine the legal issues raised by the case, and to dispose of by pretrial motion those issues that are susceptible to resolution without trial. To accomplish that purpose, the parties need to identify and fully research the issues presented by the case, and then examine those issues in light of the evidence gleaned through discovery. If it appears to counsel after examining the legal issues and facts that an issue can be resolved by pretrial motion, counsel are to file the appropriate motion by

² Any reference to "counsel" in this order includes parties appearing in propria persona.

the law and motion cutoff set forth above.

ALL PURELY LEGAL ISSUES ARE TO BE RESOLVED BY TIMELY PRETRIAL MOTION. Counsel are reminded that motions in limine are procedural devices designed to address the admissibility of evidence. COUNSEL ARE CAUTIONED THAT THE COURT WILL LOOK WITH DISFAVOR UPON SUBSTANTIVE MOTIONS PRESENTED IN THE GUISE OF MOTIONS IN LIMINE AT THE TIME OF TRIAL.

DISCOVERY

All discovery, except for expert disclosures, shall be completed by February 29, 2016. The word "completed" means that all discovery shall have been conducted so that all depositions have been taken and any disputes relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate order if necessary and, where discovery has been ordered, the order has been complied with. Motions to compel discovery must be noticed on the undersigned's calendar in accordance with the Local Rules and must be heard not later than February 10, 2016. The parties shall serve Rule 26(a) disclosures by September 11, 2015.

EXPERT DISCLOSURE

The parties are to designate in writing, and serve upon all other parties, the names of all experts they propose to tender at trial in accordance with the following schedule: initial expert disclosures on or before January 15, 2016; rebuttal expert disclosures on or before February 15, 2016.

An expert witness not appearing on said lists will not be permitted to testify unless the party offering the witness demonstrates: (a) that the necessity of the witness could not have been reasonably anticipated at the time the lists were exchanged; (b) the court and opposing counsel were promptly notified upon discovery of the witness; and (c) that the witness was promptly proffered for deposition. Failure to provide the information required along with the expert designation may lead to preclusion of the expert's testimony or other appropriate sanctions.

For the purposes of this scheduling order, experts are defined as "percipient" and "Rule 26" experts. Both types of experts shall be listed. Percipient experts are persons who, because of their expertise, have rendered expert opinions in the normal course of their work duties or

³ The court is not interested in a designation of non-testifying Rule 26 experts.

observations pertinent to the issues in the case. Another term for their opinions are "historical opinions." Percipient experts are experts who, unless also designated as Rule 26 experts, are limited to testifying to their historical opinions and the reasons for them. That is, they may be asked to testify about their opinions given in the past and the whys and wherefores concerning the development of those opinions. However, they may not be asked to render a current opinion for the purposes of the litigation.

Rule 26 experts, who may be percipient experts as well, shall be specifically designated by a party to be a testifying expert for the purposes of the litigation. The Rule 26 expert may express opinions formed for the purposes of the litigation.³ A party designating a Rule 26 expert will be assumed to have acquired the express permission of the witness to be so listed.

The parties shall comply with the information disclosure provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) for any expert, who is in whole or in part designated as a Rule 26 expert. This information is due at the time of designation. Failure to supply the required information may result in the Rule 26 expert being stricken. All Rule 26 experts are to be fully prepared to render an informed opinion at the time of designation so that they may fully participate in any deposition taken by the opposing party. Rule 26 experts will not be permitted to testify at trial as to any information gathered or evaluated, or opinion formed, which should have been reasonably available at the time of designation. The court will closely scrutinize for discovery abuse deposition opinions which differ markedly in nature and/or in bases from those expressed in the mandatory information disclosure.

FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The final pretrial conference is set before the assigned district judge on July 15, 2016 at 11:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 6. Counsel are cautioned that counsel appearing for pretrial will in fact try the matter. Counsel for all parties are to be fully prepared for trial at the time of the pretrial conference, with no matters remaining to be accomplished except production of witnesses for oral testimony. Counsel are referred to Local Rules 281 and 282 relating to pretrial statements

3

5 6

4

8 9

7

10 11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

22

23

21

24 25

26

27 28 and conferences. A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH LOCAL RULES 281 AND 282 WILL BE GROUNDS FOR SANCTIONS.

Notwithstanding Local Rule 281, the parties shall submit a joint pretrial statement not later than fourteen (14) days prior to the pretrial conference. The joint pretrial statement shall conform with the requirements of Local Rule 281(b). The undisputed facts and disputed factual issues shall be set forth in two separate sections. The parties should identify those facts which are relevant to each separate cause of action. In this regard, the parties are to number each individual fact or factual issues. Where the parties are unable to agree as to what factual issues are properly before the court for trial, they should nevertheless list in the section on "DISPUTED FACTUAL" ISSUES" all issues asserted by any of the parties and explain by parenthetical the controversy concerning each issue. The parties should keep in mind that, in general, each fact should relate or correspond to an element of the relevant cause of action. The parties should also keep in mind that the purpose of listing the disputed factual issues is to apprise the court and all parties about the precise issues that will be litigated at trial. The court is not interested in a listing of all evidentiary facts underlying the issues that are in dispute. The joint statement of undisputed facts and disputed factual issues is to be filed with the court concurrently with the filing of the joint pretrial statement.

Pursuant to Local Rule 281(b)(10) and (11), the parties are required to provide in their pretrial statement a list of witnesses and exhibits that they propose to proffer at trial, no matter for what purpose. These lists shall not be contained in the pretrial statement itself, but shall be attached as separate documents to be used as addenda to the final pretrial order. Plaintiff's exhibits shall be listed numerically; defendant's exhibits shall be listed alphabetically. The pretrial order will contain a stringent standard for the proffering of witnesses and exhibits at trial not listed in the pretrial order. Counsel are cautioned that the standard will be strictly applied. On the other hand, the listing of exhibits or witnesses which counsel do not intend or use will be viewed as an abuse of the court's processes.

Counsel are reminded that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, it will be their duty at the pretrial conference to aid the court in (a) formulation and simplification of issues and

1 the elimination of meritless claims or defenses; (b) settling of facts which should be properly 2 admitted; and (c) avoidance of unnecessary proof and cumulative evidence. The parties must 3 prepare their joint pretrial statement, and participate in good faith at the pretrial conference, with these aims in mind. A FAILURE TO DO SO MAY RESULT IN THE IMPOSITION OF 4 5 SANCTIONS which may include monetary sanctions, orders precluding proof, eliminations of 6 claims or defenses, or such other sanctions as the court deems appropriate. 7 TRIAL SETTING 8 A bench trial is set to commence before the assigned district judge on September 12, 2016 9 at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 6. The parties anticipate the trial will take 2-3 days. 10 SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

A settlement conference will be set at the request of the parties at the time of the final pretrial conference. If the parties conclude that an earlier settlement conference would likely resolve the case, they may contact the clerk to request that one be scheduled.

SUMMARY OF ORDER

The Court summarizes this order as follows:

- 1. All discovery, except for expert discovery, shall be completed by February 29, 2016. Motions to compel discovery must be heard no later than February 10, 2016. Rule 26(a) disclosures shall be served by September 11, 2015.
- 2. The parties shall make their initial expert disclosures on or before January 15, 2016 and rebuttal disclosures by February 15, 2016.
- 3. All pretrial motions, except motions to compel discovery, shall be completed as described herein on or before April 27, 2016.
- 4. The final pretrial conference is set before the assigned district judge on July 15, 2016 at 11:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 6.
- 5. A bench trial is set to commence before the assigned district judge on September 12, 2016 at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom No. 6.

27 /////

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 /////

1	6. Defendant Maria Cabrera's default is set aside.
2	IT IS SO ORDERED.
3	DATED: September 1, 2015.
4	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	