Johnson v. Solorio et al Doc. 28

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SCOTT JOHNSON, No. 2:14-cv-2683-MCE-EFB PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE v. 14 RAFAEL T. SOLORIO; MARIA D. SOLORIO; ALFREDO SOLORIO, and 15 DOES 1-10. 16 Defendants. 17 Plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment, and noticed the motion for hearing on 18 19 March 29, 2017. ECF No. 26. Court records reflect that defendants have not filed an opposition 20 or statement of non-opposition to the pending motion. 21 Local Rule 230(c) provides that opposition to the granting of a motion, or a statement of 22 non-opposition thereto, must be served upon the moving party, and filed with this court, no later 23 than fourteen days preceding the noticed hearing date or, in this instance, by March 15, 2017. Local Rule 230(c) further provides that "[n]o party will be entitled to be heard in opposition to a 24 25 motion at oral arguments if opposition to the motion has not been timely filed by that party." 26 Additionally, Local Rule 260(b) provides that "[a]ny party opposing a motion for summary 27 ¹ This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding pro se, is before the undersigned pursuant to 28 Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Undisputed Facts and admit those facts that are undisputed and deny those that are disputed, including with each denial a citation to the particular portions of any pleading, affidavit, deposition, interrogatory answer, admission, or other document relied upon in support of that denial." Local Rule 260(b) further provides that "[t]he opposing party may also file a concise 'Statement of Disputed Facts,' and the source thereof in the record, of all additional material facts as to which there is a genuine issue precluding summary judgment or adjudication," and that "[t]he opposing party shall be responsible for the filing of all evidentiary documents cited in the opposing papers."

Finally, Local Rule 183, governing persons appearing in pro se, provides that failure to

judgment or summary adjudication shall reproduce the itemized facts in the Statement of

Finally, Local Rule 183, governing persons appearing in pro se, provides that failure to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rules may be grounds for dismissal, judgment by default, or other appropriate sanctions. Local Rule 110 provides that failure to comply with the Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." Pro se litigants are bound by the rules of procedure, even though pleadings are liberally construed in their favor. *King v. Atiyeh*, 814 F.2d 565, 567 (9th Cir. 1987).

Because defendants have failed to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, as required by Local Rule 230, and have failed to respond to plaintiff's statement of undisputed facts, as required by Local Rule 260, the hearing on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment must be continued. Defendants are ordered to show cause why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to timely file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the pending motion and for failure to timely file a response to plaintiff's statement of undisputed facts. Defendants are also ordered to file an opposition to the motion or a statement of non-opposition thereto and a response to defendant's statement of undisputed facts. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that defendant's motion for summary judgment be granted.

The court informs defendants of the following with respect to opposing a motion for summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56: Such a motion is a request that the

17

18

19

2021

23

22

24

25

26

27

28 /////

/////

court grant judgment in plaintiff's favor without trial. A motion for summary judgment will set forth the facts that plaintiff asserts are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle him to judgment under applicable law. To oppose a motion for summary judgment, defendants may file one or more affidavits or declarations setting forth the material facts that defendants believe are subject to reasonable dispute, as long as the person who signs it has personal knowledge of the facts stated. Defendants may rely on written records, but they must prove they are what defendants assert them to be. Defendants may rely on all or any part of responses to discovery propounded in this case, i.e, answers to interrogatories, admissions and deposition transcripts. If defendants fail to contradict plaintiff's evidence with counter-affidavits or other admissible evidence, the court may accept plaintiff's evidence as true and grant the motion. If there is good reason why such facts are not available to defendants when they are required to oppose a motion for summary judgment, the court will consider a request to postpone considering the motion. If defendants do not file a written opposition to the motion or a request to postpone consideration of it, the court may consider the failure to act as a waiver of opposition to the plaintiff's motion. If the court grants plaintiff's motion, whether opposed or unopposed, judgment will be entered for plaintiff without a trial and the case will be closed.

Accordingly, good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. The hearing on plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (ECF Nos. 26) is continued to May 3, 2017.
- 2. Defendants shall show cause, in writing, no later than April 19, 2017, why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to timely file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the pending motion.
- 3. Defendants shall file an opposition to the motion, or a statement of non-opposition thereto, no later than April 19, 2017.
- 4. Failure of defendants to file an opposition to the motion will be deemed a statement of non-opposition thereto, and may result in a recommendation that plaintiff's motion be granted.

1	5. Plaintiff may file a newly to defendants' appreciation if any on an hefere April 26, 2017
1	5. Plaintiff may file a reply to defendants' opposition, if any, on or before April 26, 2017.
2	DATED: March 17, 2017.
3	Somund F. Bilma
4	EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	