8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
MIGUEL DIAZ,	No. 2:14-cv-2705 JAM CKD P
Plaintiff,	
v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
R. FOX, et al.,	
Defendants.	
17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, who seeks relief	
18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 20, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed for	
19 failure to state a claim, and he was granted one opportunity to amend. Before the court is	
20 plaintiff's amended complaint ("FAC"), filed on April 13, 2015. (ECF No. 12.)	
21 The court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a	
22 governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The	
court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally	
24 "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek	
25 monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1), (2).	
Having reviewed the FAC, the undersigned concludes that it fails to state a claim under	
7 the legal standards set forth in the January 20, 2015 screening order. Because it appears that	
another round of amendment would be futile, the undersigned will recommend dismissal of this	
	FOR THE EASTERN MIGUEL DIAZ, Plaintiff, v. R. FOX, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceedin, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 20 failure to state a claim, and he was granted of plaintiff's amended complaint ("FAC"), filed The court is required to screen compla- governmental entity or officer or employee of court must dismiss a complaint or portion the "frivolous or malicious," that fail to state a claim monetary relief from a defendant who is imm Having reviewed the FAC, the under the legal standards set forth in the January 20

action.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed with prejudice for failure to state a claim.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: May 28, 2015 CAROLYN K. DELANEY 2 / diaz2705.fac