1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MIGUEL DIAZ,	No. 2:14-cv-2705 JAM CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER AND	
14	R. FOX, et al.,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendants.		
16			
17			
18	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, who seeks relief		
19	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 20, 2015, plaintiff's original complaint was dismissed		
20	for failure to state a claim. (ECF No. 7.) On May 28, 2015, the undersigned found plaintiff's		
21	first amended complaint to fail to cure the defects of the original complaint. (ECF No. 13.)		
22	Plaintiff has filed a second amended complaint, now before the court for screening. (ECF No.		
23	20.) <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a).		
24	Plaintiff's allegations concern his medical need for diabetic snacks while incarcerated at		
25	the California Medical Facility. He names as defendants prison officials, medical staff, food		
26	service workers, and the reviewers of plaintiff's inmate appeals on this subject. As to all but two		

<sup>1</sup> In May 2015, plaintiff was issued a "diet card" to present to kitchen staff so that he could receive the snacks. The card is valid through mid-November 2015. (ECF No. 20 at 3, 19.)

defendants, plaintiff has not alleged the elements of an Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claim. (See ECF No. 7 at 3-4.) However, construing the complaint in plaintiff's favor, the undersigned concludes that he has stated deliberate indifference claims against two food service workers, Ferreira and Stankiewicz.

Plaintiff also alleges these two defendants retaliated against him in violation of the First Amendment. Ferreira "retaliated against the plaintiff for refusing to withdraw his appeal/complaint against him" by tearing open plaintiff's bag on one occasion. (Id. at 2.) Plaintiff attaches a July 2014 request for accommodation in which he stated that Ferreira and other kitchen staff failed to provide him diabetic snacks per his medical chrono. (Id. at 14.) Plaintiff does not specify when Ferreira tore open his bag or allege any threatening statements by Ferreira, but asserts that Ferreira "took these actions to humiliate and intimidate me from pursuing further action and to stop pursuing the administrative appeals process[.]" (Id. at 2.) As in the original complaint, his allegations are too vague and conclusory to state a First Amendment claim.

Plaintiff alleges that Stankiewicz "threatened to write a false 115 disciplinary charge for requesting doctor prescribed medical treatments for my diabetes." (<u>Id.</u> at 3.) As in the original complaint, these brief and conclusory allegations fail to state a First Amendment claim.

In sum, the undersigned will recommend that all defendants and claims be dismissed except for Eighth Amendment claims against Ferreira and Stankiewicz.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Service is appropriate for the following defendants: Ferreira and Stankiewicz.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court shall send plaintiff two USM-285 forms, one summons, an instruction sheet and a copy of the second amended complaint filed September 29, 2015.
- 3. Within thirty days from the date of this order, plaintiff shall complete the attached Notice of Submission of Documents and submit the following documents to the court:
  - a. The completed Notice of Submission of Documents;
  - b. One completed summons;
  - c. One completed USM-285 form for each defendant listed in number 1 above;

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	MIGUEL DIAZ,	No. 2:14-cv-2705 JAM CKD P
13	Plaintiff,	
14	v.	NOTICE OF SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS
15	R. FOX, et al.,	DOCUMENTS
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff hereby submits the following documents in compliance with the court's order	
19	filed:	
20	completed summons form	
21	completed USM-285 forms	
22	copies of the	
23	Complaint	
24	DATED:	
25		
26		
27		
28		Plaintiff
		4