

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID REYES,
Plaintiff,
v.
A. YOUNG, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-2711 CKD P

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed January 23, 2015, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days’ leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On March 3, 2015, plaintiff was granted a sixty-day extension of time to file an amended complaint. The sixty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district judge to this action.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings

1 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified
2 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153
3 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: May 12, 2015



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

5
6
7
8
9
10
11 2 / reye2711.fta
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28