1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TIMOTHY DEMARTINI, et al.,	No. 2:14-cv-2722 JAM CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	MICHAEL J. DEMARTINI, et al.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a United States	
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c).	
19	On May 1, 2017, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
20	were served on the parties and which contained notice to the parties that any objections to the	
21	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. None of the parties have	
22	filed objections to the findings and recommendations; however, defendants have filed a response.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
25	ORDERED that:	
26	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 1, 2017, are adopted in full;	
27	2. The motion to amend the complaint (ECF No. 195) is granted;	
28	3. The Clerk of Court is directed to separately file and docket the proposed second	
		1

amended complaint (ECF No. 195-1 at pp. 8-16, Declaration of Peter Kleinbrodt, Exh. B);	
4. The third cause of action for dissolution of partnership in the second amended	
complaint is severed from the remaining claims; and	
5. The severed claim for dissolution of partnership is remanded to the Superior Court of	
the State of California, in and for the County of Nevada (case no. 80744).	
<b>DATED:</b> May 31, 2017	
/s/ John A. Mendez	
HON. JOHN A. MENDEZ	
United States District Judge	
2 demartini2722.mta_jo	
2	