1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 REVEREND WESLEY CRAWFORD SR., No. 2:14-cv-2725 JAM AC (PS) 12 Plaintiff, 13 **AMENDED ORDER** v. 14 LISA M. MOORE, 15 Defendant. 16 17 This order amends and supersedes the order filed June 15, 2015, ECF No. 14. 18 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a 19 United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). 20 On April 13, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which 21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. (ECF No. 13) Neither 23 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be 25 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY 26 ORDERED that: 27 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 13, 2015 (ECF No. 13), are adopted in full; 28 1

1	2. Defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 7) is granted as follows:
2	a. The claim against Moore under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42
3	U.S.C. §§ 2000e, et seq., is dismissed with prejudice;
4	b. The claim under Section 1981, 42 U.S.C. § 1981, claim is dismissed with
5	prejudice;
6	c. The claim under Section 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, for violation of the Due
7	Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, is dismissed with leave to
8	amend within 30 days;
9	d. The claim under Section 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, for violation of the Equal
10	Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, is dismissed with leave
11	to amend within 30 days;
12	e. That the claim under Section 1983, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, for violation of the Due
13	Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, is dismissed with prejudice;
14	f. The claim under Title II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000a, et
15	seq., is dismissed with prejudice; and
16	g. The claim under Section 8(f), 29 U.S.C. § 158(f), is dismissed with prejudice.
17	DATED: June 17, 2015
18	/s/ John A. Mendez_
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
20	
21	Craw2725.801
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	