

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 EVERETT MUHAMMAD-SNELL,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 RONALD RACKLEY, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:14-cv-2733 WBS KJN P

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

17 By order filed March 12, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave  
18 to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and  
19 plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.


20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without  
21 prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

22 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge  
23 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days  
24 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written  
25 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
26 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the  
27 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The

28 ///

1 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
2 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: April 28, 2015

4   
5 KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 /muha2733.fta  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28