

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBIN HOFFMAN,
Plaintiff,
v.
CORNING POLICE DEPARTMENT,
Defendant.

No. 2:14-cv-2736 MCE KJN P


FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed December 3, 2014, plaintiff was ordered to file a complaint, and an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days. Plaintiff was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order and has not filed a complaint or an in forma pauperis application or paid the appropriate filing fee. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the

1 objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The
2 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to
3 appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: January 14, 2015

5 
6

KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7 /hoff2736.fifp

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28