

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PRIME HEALTHCARE SERVICES –
SHASTA, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company doing business as Shasta
Regional Medical Center,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, in her
official capacity as Secretary of the
Department of Health and Human Services,

Defendant.

No. 2:14-cv-02791-TLN-KJN
NOTICE OF RELATED CASE ORDER

PRIME HEALTHCARE SERVICES –
SHASTA, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company doing business as Shasta
Regional Medical Center,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, in her
official capacity as Secretary of the
Department of Health and Human Services,

Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-00450 KJM-CKD

PRIME HEALTHCARE SERVICES –
SHASTA, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company doing business as Shasta
Regional Medical Center,

No. 2:15-cv-473 MCE-CMK

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Plaintiff,

v.

SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, in her
official capacity as Secretary of the
Department of Health and Human Services,
Defendant.

PRIME HEALTHCARE SERVICES –
SHASTA, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company doing business as Shasta
Regional Medical Center,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, in her
official capacity as Secretary of the
Department of Health and Human Services,
Defendant.

PRIME HEALTHCARE SERVICES –
SHASTA, LLC, a Delaware limited
liability company doing business as Shasta
Regional Medical Center,

Plaintiff,

v.

SYLVIA MATHEWS BURWELL, in her
official capacity as Secretary of the
Department of Health and Human Services,
Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-474 MCE-CMK


No. 2:15-cv-709-TLN-KJN

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, are based on the same claims, the same event, the same questions of fact and the same questions of law, and would therefore entail a substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the actions denominated 2:15-cv-00450
2 KJM-CKD, 2:15-cv-473 MCE-CMK, and 2:15-cv-474 MCE-CMK, are hereby reassigned to
3 District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate Judge Kendall J. Newman for all further
4 proceedings. Any dates currently set in the reassigned case are hereby VACATED. Henceforth,
5 the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as: 2:15-cv-00450 TLN-
6 KJN, 2:15-cv-473 TLN-KJN, 2:15-cv-474 TLN-KJN. Furthermore, it is noted that the case 2:15-
7 cv-709-TLN-KJN, which is already assigned to District Judge Troy L. Nunley and Magistrate
8 Judge Kendall J. Newman is also related to the above cases.
9

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court make appropriate adjustment
11 in the assignment of civil cases to compensate
12 for this reassignment and issue.

13
14 Dated: May 22, 2015

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge