1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DANTE L. LOVE,	No. 2:14-cv-2817 JAM CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	WILLIAM KNIPP,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460	
19	(9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
20	of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases.	
21	In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the	
22	appointment of counsel at the present time.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of	
24	counsel (ECF No. 31) is denied.	
25	Dated: July 13, 2015	Carop U. Delany
26		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
27		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	1/love2817.110(2)	