1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTHONY ZAMORA, JR.,	No. 2:14-cv-2871 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SCOTT JONES, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed April 30, 2015, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to	
18	file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has	
19	not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff	
21	was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current	
22	address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of	
23	the party is fully effective.	
24	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is	
25	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and	
26	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
27	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
28	////	

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: July 1, 2015 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /zamo2871.fta