Doc. 10

Dockets.Justia.com

Canas et al v. County of San Joaquin et al

1	<u>RECITALS</u>
2	A. On December 11, 2014, Plaintiffs filed this lawsuit.
3	B. On June 30, 2015, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint.
4	C. On June 30, 2015, Plaintiffs served process on Defendant County
5	of San Joaquin (the "County").
6	D. Defendants have not served process on Defendants Natalie
7	Lerma-Solis or Jorge Cedano (collectively, the "Individual Defendants").
8	E. Counsel has been retained to represent the County and he will also
9	represent the Individual Defendants. Counsel has requested an extension of time. The
10	Individual Defendants will waive service of process.
11	STIPULATION
12	IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED that the County and the Individual
13	Defendants shall move, plead, or otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint by
14	August 20, 2015.
15	Dated: July 21, 2015 HERUM\CRABTREE\SUNTAG A California Professional Corporation
16	7. Camorna i Torcssional Corporation
17	By: <u>/s/ Dana A. Suntag</u> DANA A. SUNTAG
18	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, NATALIE LERMA-SOLIS
19	and JORGE CEDANO
20	Data de July 00, 0045
21	Dated: July 23, 2015 By:/s/ Daniel Malakauskas DANIEL MALAKAUSKAS
22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs LUZ MARIA CANAS, CARLOS CANAS,
23	KARLA RIVAS, DARLHA CANAS, THE ESTATE OF ALEJANDRO GOVEA,
24	ALEJANDRO GOVEA, CECILIA BAIRES
25	ORDER O
26	It is so ordered.
27	Dated: July 28, 2015
28	Troy L. Nunley
	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING RESPONSE DATE TO FIRST 2 United States District Judge

AMENDED COMPLAINT