1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL M. CORJASSO, III,	No. 2:14-cv-2918 CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER AND
14	W. KNIPP,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	By an order filed December 22, 2014, petitioner was ordered to file a request to proceed in	
18	forma pauperis or to pay the appropriate filing fee, within thirty days, or his petition for writ of	
19	habeas corpus would be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not	
20	responded to the court's order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the	
21	Court assign a district court judge to this case and IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this	
22	action be dismissed without prejudice.	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written	
26	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's	
27	Findings and Recommendations." Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the	
28	/////	
		1

1	specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
2	F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	Dated: February 2, 2015 Carop U. Delany
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	1 corj2918.fifp
9	corjzero.nip
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2