1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	PEACE MANO,	No. 2:14-cv-02919-GEB-CMK
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER
10	MARSHA A. ROLFE , a sole proprietor, d/b/a END ZONE	
11	BAR AND GRILL; and DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14		
15	The status (pretria	l scheduling) conference scheduled
16	for hearing on February 23, 2	015, is vacated since the parties'
17	Joint Status Report filed on	February 6, 2015 ("JSR") indicates
18	the following Order should issue.	
19	DOE DEFENDANTS, SERVICE, JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES, AMENDMENT	
20	"Plaintiff requests [in the JSR] that the Court provide	
21	the parties with an additional sixty (60) days from the date of	
22	the initial scheduling confere	ence to ascertain the identities of
23	any possible Doe defendants."	(JSR 2:5-7, ECF No. 6.) The parties
24	further state in the JSR:	
25	The parties propose a deadline of sixty	
26	(60) days from the Initial Status Conference for both parties to file any motions to amend	
27	the pleadings or join additional parties. After sixty (60) days, no amendment to the	
28	pleadings shall take place without a noticed motion and a finding by the Court of good	
		1

2 3

1

cause for such amendment. Other than for potential Doe defendants, the parties do not anticipate amending the pleadings at this time.

4 (<u>Id.</u> at 2:11-15.)

Accordingly, the parties have until April 27, 2015, to 5 file a motion in which leave is sought under the relevant Federal 6 Rule of Civil Procedure to file an amended pleading and/or join a 7 party, after which time no further service, joinder of parties, 8 or amendments to the pleadings is permitted, except with leave of 9 Court for good cause shown. The referenced motion(s) must be 10 noticed for hearing on the Court's earliest available law and 11 motion date. 12

13 If leave is not sought as stated, Does 1 through 100 14 will be automatically dismissed from this action.

15

25

## ADDED PARTY'S OPPORTUNITY TO SEEK AMENDMENT OF THIS ORDER

16 If a new party is joined pursuant to the leave given 17 above, a copy of this Order shall be served on that party 18 concurrently with the service of process.

The newly added party has 30 days after said service within which to file a "Notice of Proposed Modification of Status Order." Although a newly-joined party's proposed modification filed within this thirty day period will not have to meet the good cause standard, no further amendments will be permitted, except with leave of Court for good cause shown.

## DISCOVERY

All discovery shall be completed by November 19, 2015. "Completed" means all discovery shall be conducted so that any dispute relative to discovery shall have been resolved by 1 appropriate orders, if necessary, and, where discovery has been 2 ordered, the order has been complied with on or before the 3 prescribed "completion" date.

Each party shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and (C)'s initial expert witness disclosure requirements on or before August 21, 2015, and any contradictory and/or rebuttal expert disclosure authorized under Rule 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) on or before September 18, 2015.

10 The last hearing date for a motion is January 11, 2016, 11 commencing at 9:00 a.m. Any motion shall be briefed as prescribed 12 in Local Rule 230.

MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE

13 The parties are cautioned that an untimely motion 14 characterized as a motion in limine may be summarily denied.

## FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

The final pretrial conference is set for March 14, 2016, at 2:30 p.m. The parties are cautioned that the lead attorney who WILL TRY THE CASE for each party shall attend the final pretrial conference. In addition, all persons representing themselves and appearing in propria persona must attend the pretrial conference.

The parties shall file a JOINT pretrial statement no later than seven (7) calendar days prior to the final pretrial conference. The joint pretrial statement shall address the applicable portions of Local Rule 281(b), and shall set forth each theory of liability ("claim") and affirmative defense which remains to be tried, and the ultimate facts on which each

28

9

15

3

theory/defense is based. Furthermore, each party shall estimate the length of trial. The Court uses the parties' joint pretrial statement to prepare its final pretrial order and could issue the final pretrial order without holding the scheduled final pretrial conference. <u>See Mizwicki v. Helwig</u>, 196 F.3d 828, 833 (7th Cir. 1999) ("There is no requirement that the court hold a pretrial conference.").

Final pretrial procedures are "critical for 'promoting 8 9 efficiency and conserving judicial resources by identifying the 10 real issues prior to trial, thereby saving time and expense for 11 everyone.'" Friedman & Friedman, Ltd. v. Tim McCandless, Inc., 12 606 F.3d 494, 498 (8th Cir. 2010) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 13 Advisory Committee Note (1983 Amendment to subdivision (c)). 14 "Toward that end, Rule 16 directs courts to use pretrial 15 conferences to weed out unmeritorious claims and defenses before trial begins." Smith v. Gulf Oil Co., 995 F.2d 638, 642 (6th Cir. 16 17 1993). The parties are therefore provided notice that a claim or 18 affirmative defense may be dismissed sua sponte if it is not 19 shown to be triable in the joint final pretrial statement. Cf. Portland Retail Druggists Ass'n v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, 662 20 21 F.2d 641, 645 (9th Cir. 1981) (indicating that a party shall be 22 provided notice and an opportunity to respond with facts 23 sufficient to justify having a claim or affirmative defense 24 proceed to trial); Portsmouth Square, Inc. v. S'holders 25 Protective Comm., 770 F.2d 866, 869 (9th Cir. 1985) (stating "the 26 district court has . . . authority to grant summary judgment sua 27 sponte in the context of a final pretrial conference").

If feasible, at the time of filing the joint pretrial

4

28

1	statement counsel shall also email it in a format compatible with	
2	WordPerfect to: geborders@caed.uscourts.gov.	
3	TRIAL SETTING	
4	Trial shall commence at 9:00 a.m. on June 21, 2016.	
5	VOLUNTARY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM ("VDRP")	
6	Since the parties "wish to participate in the Court's	
7	[VDRP,]" this matter is referred to VDRP. (See JSR 4:14-16.)	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: February 12, 2015	
10		
11	And E. Prouth	
12	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge	
13	Senior onited States District oddge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5	