Doc. 18 (HC) Brown v. Arnold 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RICHARD BROWN, No. 2:14-cv-2922 MCE AC P 12 Petitioner. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 ERIC ARNOLD, 15 Respondents. 16 17 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On May 13, 2015, petitioner filed a separate motion for leave to 18 19 conduct discovery. ECF No. 17. 20 Discovery may be conducted in a habeas case by leave of court upon a showing of good 21 cause. Rule 6, Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts. Good 22 cause exists where specific allegations before the court show reason to believe that petitioner 23 may, if the facts are fully developed, be able to demonstrate that he is entitled to relief. Bracy v. 24 Gramley, 520 U.S. 899, 901-09 (1997). 25 In his motion, petitioner seeks leave to request discovery related to the calculation of 26 another inmate's parole and release date calculations. Id. The requested documents have no 27 bearing on petitioner's claim that he is being subjected to a repealed standard resulting in an 28 unlawful sentence. ECF No. 1. The request will therefore be denied. 1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion to conduct discover
2	(ECF No. 17) is denied.
3	DATED: October 13, 2015
4	auson Clane
5	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	