1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JAMES HARDY,	No. 2:14-cv-2936 MCE CKD PS	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER	
14	DEPARTMENT OF VETERAN AFFAIRS,		
15	Defendant.		
16	Derendant.		
17			
18	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. Plaintiff has requested authority pursuant to		
19	28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis. This proceeding was referred to this court by		
20	Local Rule 302(c)(21).		
21	Plaintiff has submitted the affidavit required by § 1915(a) showing that plaintiff is unable		
22	to prepay fees and costs or give security for them. Accordingly, the request to proceed in forma		
23	pauperis will be granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).		
24	The federal in forma pauperis statute authorizes federal courts to dismiss a case if the		
25	action is legally "frivolous or malicious," fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,		
26	or seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C.		
27	§ 1915(e)(2).		
28	/////	1	
		1	

A claim is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.
 <u>Neitzke v. Williams</u>, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); <u>Franklin v. Murphy</u>, 745 F.2d 1221, 1227-28 (9th
 Cir. 1984). The court may, therefore, dismiss a claim as frivolous where it is based on an
 indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are clearly baseless. <u>Neitzke</u>,
 490 U.S. at 327.

In order to avoid dismissal for failure to state a claim a complaint must contain more than 6 7 "naked assertions," "labels and conclusions" or "a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 555-557 (2007). In other words, 8 9 "[t]hreadbare recitals of the elements of a cause of action, supported by mere conclusory 10 statements do not suffice." Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009). Furthermore, a claim 11 upon which the court can grant relief has facial plausibility. Twombly, 550 U.S. at 570. "A 12 claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw 13 the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 14 at 1949. When considering whether a complaint states a claim upon which relief can be granted, 15 the court must accept the allegations as true, Erickson v. Pardus, 127 S. Ct. 2197, 2200 (2007), 16 and construe the complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff, see Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 17 U.S. 232, 236 (1974).

18 The court finds the allegations in plaintiff's complaint so vague and conclusory that it is 19 unable to determine whether the current action is frivolous or fails to state a claim for relief. The 20 court has determined that the complaint does not contain a short and plain statement as required 21 by Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). Although the Federal Rules adopt a flexible pleading policy, a 22 complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the claim plainly and succinctly. Jones 23 v. Community Redev. Agency, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). Plaintiff must allege with at 24 least some degree of particularity overt acts which defendants engaged in that support plaintiff's 25 claim. Id. Because plaintiff has failed to comply with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2), 26 the complaint must be dismissed. The court will, however, grant leave to file an amended 27 complaint.

28 /////

If plaintiff chooses to amend the complaint, plaintiff must set forth the jurisdictional
 grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(a).
 Further, plaintiff must demonstrate how the conduct complained of has resulted in a deprivation
 of plaintiff's federal rights. See Ellis v. Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980).

Plaintiff complains that he has been denied federal benefits due to him because of
exposure to Agent Orange during his military service in Vietnam. It is not clear from the
complaint whether plaintiff has exhausted any administrative procedures for making his claim or
whether plaintiff has even presented his claim in the first instance to the Department of Veteran
Affairs. In any amended complaint, plaintiff should set forth specifically what steps he has taken
to make a claim for Agent Orange benefits.

11 In addition, plaintiff is informed that the court cannot refer to a prior pleading in order to 12 make plaintiff's amended complaint complete. Local Rule 15-220 requires that an amended 13 complaint be complete in itself without reference to any prior pleading. This is because, as a 14 general rule, an amended complaint supersedes the original complaint. See Loux v. Rhay, 375 15 F.2d 55, 57 (9th Cir. 1967). Once plaintiff files an amended complaint, the original pleading no 16 longer serves any function in the case. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an 17 original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently 18 alleged.

19

20

21

In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 3) is granted;

2. Plaintiff's complaint is dismissed; and

3. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of service of this order to file an amended
complaint that complies with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the
Local Rules of Practice; the amended complaint must bear the docket number assigned this case
and must be labeled "Amended Complaint"; plaintiff must file an original and two copies of the
/////

27 /////

28 /////

1	amended complaint; failure to file an amended complaint in accordance with this order will result		
2	in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.		
3	Dated: January 8, 2015	Carop U. Delany	
4	CA	ROLYN K. DELANEY ITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
5		TIED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15 16			
10			
17			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		