1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VINCENT YEE,	No. 2:14-cv-2955 KJM DB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Vincent Yee is proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was referred to the	
18	undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Plaintiff has	
19	been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. (ECF No. 3.)	
20	Pending before the court is plaintiff's third amended complaint. (ECF No. 11.)	
21	The court must dismiss an in forma pauperis case at any time if the allegation of poverty is	
22	found to be untrue or if it is determined that the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a	
23	claim on which relief may be granted, or seeks monetary relief against an immune defendant. See	
24	28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). A complaint is legally frivolous when it lacks an arguable basis in law or	
25	in fact. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); Franklin v. Murphy, 745 F.2d 1221,	
26	1227-28 (9th Cir. 1984). Under this standard, a court must dismiss a complaint as frivolous	
27	where it is based on an indisputably meritless legal theory or where the factual contentions are	
28	clearly baseless. <u>Neitzke</u> , 490 U.S. at 327; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).	

To state a claim on which relief may be granted, the plaintiff must allege "enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). In considering whether a complaint states a cognizable claim, the court accepts as true the material allegations in the complaint and construes the allegations in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. Hishon v. King & Spalding, 467 U.S. 69, 73 (1984); Hosp. Bldg. Co. v. Trustees of Rex Hosp., 425 U.S. 738, 740 (1976); Love v. United States, 915 F.2d 1242, 1245 (9th Cir. 1989). Pro se pleadings are held to a less stringent standard than those drafted by lawyers. Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). However, the court need not accept as true conclusory allegations, unreasonable inferences, or unwarranted deductions of fact. Western Mining Council v. Watt, 643 F.2d 618, 624 (9th Cir. 1981).

The minimum requirements for a civil complaint in federal court are as follows:

A pleading which sets forth a claim for relief . . . shall contain (1) a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends . . . , (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and (3) a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks.

FED. R. CIV. P. 8(a).

Here, liberally construed, plaintiff's third amended complaint alleges that defendants Kathleen Fritzche, Harold Penny and Corey Johnson—City of Sacramento police officers—were aware that plaintiff's father was at risk for suicide when they arrested him and did not notify staff at the Sacramento County Jail. Plaintiff's father later committed suicide while jailed at the Sacramento County Jail. The third amended complaint also alleges that the Sacramento County Jail failed to provide inmates, including plaintiff's father, with adequate mental health care and was aware that its mental healthcare was deficient resulting in injury and death to its inmates.

Based on the allegations found in the third amended complaint, the undersigned finds that the third amended complaint states cognizable claims against Kathleen Fritzche, Harold Penny,

¹ Under California law, "a cause of action for . . . a person is not lost by reason of the person's death, but survives" CAL. CODE CIV. PRO. § 377.20(a). "A cause of action that survives the death of the person entitled to commence an action or proceeding passes to the decedent's successor in interest, subject to [the California Probate Code] . . ., and an action may be commenced by the decedent's personal representative or, if none, by the decedent's successor in interest." CAL. CODE CIV. PRO. § 377.30.

6. Plaintiff is cautioned that the failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Dated: November 29, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DB\orders\orders.pro se\yee2955.serve.ord