1 2 3	AMIE McTAVISH, ESQ., SB No. 242372 Email: <u>amctavish@akk-law.com</u> SEAN D. O'DOWD, ESQ., SB No. 296320 Email: <u>sodowd@akk-law.com</u> ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP		
4 5	Attorneys at Law 601 University Avenue, Suite 150 Sacramento, CA 95825		
5 6	Telephone: (916) 564-6100 Telecopier: (916) 564-6263		
7 8	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF DAVIS POLICE DEPARTMENT, JEFF VIGNAU, and DEREK RUSSELL		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	LASONJA PORTER, an individual,	No. 2:14-cv-02984-KJM-DB	
12		)	
13	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE	
14	VS.	ORDER	
15	CITY OF DAVIS POLICE DEPARTMENT, et		
16	al.,	)	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	IT IS HEREBY AGREED AND STIPULATED BETWEEN THE PLAINTIFF, AND		
20	DEFENDANTS CITY OF DAVIS POLICE DEPARTMENT, JEFF VIGNAU, AND DEREK		
21	RUSSELL (hereinafter collectively referred to as "the Parties"), that all documents produced in		
22	this case pursuant to Fed. Rules Civ. Proc., rules 26, 30, 34 & 45 shall be governed by this		
23	protective order.		
24	Absent a separate agreement between the Parties, in writing, all documents produced in		
25	this case shall be used by the Parties solely for	or the purpose of prosecuting and defending the	
26	above-captioned case. The documents shall	not be duplicated, reproduced, transmitted, or	
27	communicated to any person for any reason other than counsel; clients; experts retained for the		
28	purpose of furthering the defense of or prosecution of the Plaintiff's case; deposition and trial		
	-1-		

witnesses; mediator or third party neutral; or the Court. The copying of produced documents is to
 be conducted in-house and shall not be done by outside third party vendors.

All copies of protected documents distributed by counsel to any Party for purpose of prosecuting or defending the litigation shall be returned to counsel at the conclusion of the litigation and counsel shall store and ultimately destroy the documents consistent with individual firm policy.

Nothing in this agreement shall be interpreted to limit Plaintiff's ability to obtain and
disseminate documents procured outside this litigation, including, but not limited to, documents
obtained pursuant to a request under the California Public Records Act (Gov. Code § 6250 *et. seq.*).

This Order shall constitute a protective order pursuant to Fed. Rules Civ. Proc., rule 26(c)
and shall be enforceable as set forth therein.

13

14	Dated: September 13, 2016	ANGELO, KILDAY & KILDUFF, LLP
15		/s/ Sean D. O'Dowd
16		By: AMIE McTAVISH
17		SEAN D. O'DOWD Attorneys for Defendants
18		
19	Dated: September 7, 2016	LAW OFFICES OF KELLAN PATTERSON
20		/s/ Kellan S. Patterson (as authorized on
21		9/7/16)
22		By: KELLAN S. PATTERSON
23		Attorney for Plaintiff
24	/////	
25	/////	
26	/////	
27	/////	
28	/////	
		2
		-2-

1	<u>ORDER</u>		
2	Pursuant to the parties' request, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:		
4	1. Requests to seal documents shall be made by motion before the same judge who will		
5	decide the matter related to that request to seal.		
6	2. The designation of documents (including transcripts of testimony) as confidential		
7	pursuant to this order does not automatically entitle the parties to file such a document with the		
8	court under seal. Parties are advised that any request to seal documents in this district is		
9	governed by Local Rule 141. In brief, Local Rule 141 provides that documents may only be		
10	sealed by a written order of the court after a specific request to seal has been made. L.R. 141(a).		
11 12	However, a mere request to seal is not enough under the local rules. In particular, Local Rule		
12	141(b) requires that "[t]he 'Request to Seal Documents' shall set forth the statutory or other		
14	authority for sealing, the requested duration, the identity, by name or category, of persons to be		
15	permitted access to the document, and all relevant information." L.R. 141(b) (emphasis added).		
16	3. A request to seal material must normally meet the high threshold of showing that		
17	"compelling reasons" support secrecy; however, where the material is, at most, "tangentially		
18	related" to the merits of a case, the request to seal may be granted on a showing of "good cause."		
19	Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC, 809 F.3d 1092, 1096-1102 (9th Cir. 2016), petition		
20	for cert. filed, U.S.L.W (U.S. March 24, 2016) (No. 15-1211); Kamakana v. City and		
21	County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1178-80 (9th Cir. 2006).		
22	4. Nothing in this order shall limit the testimony of parties or non-parties, or the use of		
23	certain documents, at any court hearing or trial – such determinations will only be made by the		
24	court at the hearing or trial, or upon an appropriate motion.		
25	5. With respect to motions regarding any disputes concerning this protective order which		
26	the parties cannot informally resolve, the parties shall follow the procedures outlined in Local		
27	Rule 251. Absent a showing of good cause, the court will not hear discovery disputes on an <i>ex</i>		
28	parte basis or on shortened time.		
	-3-		

1	6. The parties may not modify the terms of this Protective Order without the court's	
2	approval. If the parties agree to a potential modification, they shall submit a stipulation	
3	and proposed order for the court's consideration.	
4	7. Pursuant to Local Rule 141.1(f), the court will not retain jurisdiction over enforcement	
5	of the terms of this Protective Order after the action is terminated.	
6	8. Any provision in the parties' stipulation that is in conflict with anything in this order is	
7	hereby DISAPPROVED.	
8		
9	DATED: September 13, 2016 /s/ DEBORAH BARNES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
10		
17		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	DLB:6 DLB1\orders.civil\porter2984.stip.prot.ord	
28		
	A	
	-4-	