Marc Applbaum SBN: 222511		
Kettner Law Corp. 2150 W. Washington S	St., Suite 104	
San Diego, CA 92110		
Tel.: (619) 756-7378 Fax: (619) 363-3944		
marc@kettnerlawcorp.	com	
Attorney for Plaintiffs, RONALD W. JACKSON and DONNA L. JACKSON		
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
RONALD W. JACKS	ON and DONNA L.	Case No. 2:14-CV-02997-WBS-CMK
JACKSON, F	Plaintiffs,	Assigned to Hon. William B. Shubb
v.		
v.		REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL
WELLS FARGO BAN	IK. N.A.: REGIONAL	
SERVICE CORP.; RT DOES 1 through 50 inc	S APCIFIC, INC.; and	ORDER
D	efendants	
		-
Come now plai	ntiffs, RONALD W. JA	CKSON and DONNA L. JACKSON, by and throu
their attorney of record	Marc Applbaum and t	respectfully request the court to dismiss the above
•		
referenced case withou	t prejudice as to all part	ies and all causes of action.
DATED: February 23,	2014 K	ETTNER LAW CORPORATION
	В	Y:/s/Marc Applbaum
		MARC APPLBAUM Attorney for Plaintiffs,

1	RONALD W. JACKSON and DONNA L. JACKSON			
2	ORDER			
3	Plaintiffs have filed a request to dismiss this action. In <u>Wilson v. City of San Jose</u> , the Ninth			
4	Circuit explained the ramifications of a Plaintiffs' request to dismiss pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1) of the			
5	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:			
6	Under Rule 41(a)(1), a plaintiff has an absolute right to voluntarily dismiss his action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary judgment. Concha v. London,			
7	 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995) (citing Hamilton v. Shearson-Lehman American Express, 813 F.2d 1532, 1534 (9th Cir. 1987)). A plaintiff may dismiss his action so long as the plaintiff files a notice of dismissal prior to the defendant's service of an answer or motion for summary judgment. The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required. Id. The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice. Id.; Pedrina v. Chun, 987 F.2d 608, 609-10 (9th Cir. 1993). The filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court automatically terminates the action as to the defendants who are the subjects of the notice. Concha, 62 F.2d at 1506. Unless otherwise stated, the dismissal is ordinarily without prejudice to the plaintiff's right to commence another action for the same cause against the same defendants. Id. (citing McKenzie v. Davenport-Harris Funeral Home, 834 F.2d 930, 934-35 (9th Cir. 1987)). Such a dismissal leaves the parties as though no action had been brought. Id. 			
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	<u>Wilson v. City of San Jose</u> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9 th Cir. 1997)			
16	No answers to Plaintiffs' complaint and no motions for summary judgment have been filed in			
17				
18	this case and it appears that no such answers or summary judgment motions have been served. Because			
19	Plaintiffs have exercised their right to voluntarily dismiss this complaint under Rule 41(a)(1), this case			
20	has terminated as explained.			
21	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is ordered to close this case			
22	in light of Plaintiff's Rule 41(a)(1) Voluntary Dismissal.			
23				
24				
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
26	Dated: March 5, 2015 Million & Shibt			
27	WILLIAM B. SHUBB			
28	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
	2			