1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	2:14-MC-00024-KJM-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
14	APPROXIMATELY \$84,102.21 SEIZED FROM SAFE CREDIT UNION ACCOUNT	FORFEITURE
15	NUMBER 468871, HELD IN THE NAME OF POTHONG MARKET,	
16		
17 18	APPROXIMATELY \$28,353.38 SEIZED FROM SAFE CREDIT UNION ACCOUNT NUMBER 438814, HELD IN THE NAME OF BOUNMEE KANTHATHIN, and	
19	APPROXIMATELY \$19,900.00 SEIZED	
20	FROM SAFE CREDIT UNION ACCOUNT NUMBER 462905, HELD IN THE NAME	
21	OF LIANNE KANTHATHIN,	
22	Defendants.	
23	Pursuant to the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, the court finds:	
24	1. On September 13, 2013, agents with the Internal Revenue Service –	
25	Criminal Investigation ("IRS-CI") executed a Federal seizure warrant at Safe Credit	
26	Union at 3720 Madison Avenue, North Highlands, California. The agents seized	
27	Approximately \$84,102.21 from Safe Credit Union account number 468871, held in the	
28		1
	1	1

name of Pothong Market; Approximately \$28,353.38 from Safe Credit Union account
 number 438814, held in the name of Bounmee Kanthathin; and Approximately
 \$19,900.00 from Safe Credit Union account number 462905, held in the name of Lianne
 Kanthathin (hereafter the "defendant funds").

5

6

7

8

2. The IRS-CI commenced administrative forfeiture proceedings, sending direct notice to all known potential claimants and publishing notice to all others. On or about November 14, 2013, the IRS-CI received a claim from Lianne Kanthathin asserting an ownership interest in the defendant funds.

3. The United States represents that it could show at a forfeiture trial that 9 from April 2, 2013 to June 24, 2013, Bounmee Kanthathin and Lianne Kanthathin or 10 another person acting for their benefit, structured transactions by breaking up currency 11 deposits to the Safe Credit Union Account Number 468871, held in the name of Pothong 12 Market, Safe Credit Union Account Number 438814, held in the name of Bounmee 13 Kanthathin, and Safe Credit Union Account Number 462905, held in the name of Lianne 14 Kanthathin. The defendant funds were allegedly used to structure currency deposit 15 transactions by breaking them into amounts at or below \$10,000.00 to avoid the currency 16 transaction requirement in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 5324(a)(3). 17

The United States could further show at a forfeiture trial that the defendant
 funds are forfeitable to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 984 and 31 U.S.C. §
 5317(c)(2), incorporating the procedures governing civil forfeiture actions pursuant to 18
 U.S.C. § 981.

5. Without admitting the truth of the factual assertions contained above,
Bounmee Kanthathin and Lianne Kanthathin specifically denying the same, and for the
purpose of reaching an amicable resolution and compromise of this matter, Bounmee
Kanthathin and Lianne Kanthathin agree that an adequate factual basis exists to
support forfeiture of the defendant funds. Bounmee Kanthathin and Lianne Kanthathin
hereby acknowledge that they are the sole owners of the defendant funds and that no
other person or entity has any legitimate claim of interest therein. Should any person or

2

entity institute any kind of claim or action against the government with regard to its
 forfeiture of the defendant funds, Bounmee Kanthathin and Lianne Kanthathin shall
 hold harmless and indemnify the United States, as set forth below.

6. This court has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and
1355, as this is the judicial district in which acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture
occurred.

7 7. This court has venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395, as this is the judicial
8 district in which the defendant funds were seized.

9 8. The parties herein desire to settle this matter pursuant to the terms of a
10 duly executed Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture.

Based upon the above findings, and the files and records of the court, it is hereby
ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:

13 9. The court adopts the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture entered
14 into by and between the parties.

10. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, Approximately \$84,102.21 15 seized from Safe Credit Union account number 468871, held in the name of Pothong 16 Market and \$21,782.26 of the Approximately \$28,353.38 seized from Safe Credit Union 17 account number 438814, held in the name of Bounmee Kanthathin, together with any 18 interest that may have accrued on the total amount seized, shall be forfeited to the United 19 States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 984 and 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c)(2), incorporating the procedures 20 governing civil forfeiture actions pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981, to be disposed of according to 21 22 law.

11. Upon entry of this Consent Judgment of Forfeiture, but no later than 60
days thereafter, \$6,571.12 of the Approximately \$28,353.38 seized from Safe Credit Union
account number 438814, held in the name of Bounmee Kanthathin and Approximately
\$19,900.00 seized from Safe Credit Union account number 462905, held in the name of
Lianne Kanthathin, shall be returned to potential claimants Bounmee Kanthathin and
Lianne Kanthathin through their attorney Mark J. Reichel.

1 12. The United States of America and its servants, agents, and employees and
 all other public entities, their servants, agents and employees, are released from any and
 all liability arising out of or in any way connected with the seizure or forfeiture of the
 defendant funds. This is a full and final release applying to all unknown and
 unanticipated injuries, and/or damages arising out of said seizure or forfeiture, as well as
 to those now known or disclosed. Bounmee Kanthathin and Lianne Kanthathin waived
 the provisions of California Civil Code § 1542.

8 13. No portion of the stipulated settlement, including statements or admissions
9 made therein, shall be admissible in any criminal action pursuant to Rules 408 and
10 410(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

14. All parties will bear their own costs and attorney's fees.

15. Pursuant to the Stipulation for Consent Judgment of Forfeiture filed herein, the court enters this Certificate of Reasonable Cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465, that there was reasonable cause for the seizure of the above-described defendant funds.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 30, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE