1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 KEITH BROWN, No. 2:15-cv-0026-JAM-EFB PS 11 Plaintiff, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 CHUCK HAGEL, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff has requested authority under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed in forma pauperis, and has submitted the affidavit required thereunder which demonstrates that plaintiff is unable to 17 prepay fees and costs or give security for them. ECF No. 2. Accordingly, the request to proceed 18 19 in forma pauperis is granted. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). 20 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2), the court is directed to dismiss a case filed pursuant to 21 the *in forma pauperis* statute if, at any time, it determines that the allegation of poverty is untrue, 22 or if the action is frivolous or malicious, fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted, or 23 seeks monetary relief against an immune defendant. The court cannot make this determination on the present record. Therefore, the court reserves decision on these issues until the record is 24 25 sufficiently developed. 26 <sup>1</sup> This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding in propria persona, was referred to the 27 undersigned pursuant to Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C. 28 § 636(b)(1). 1

1	8. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be
2	dismissed.
3	DATED: March 24, 2016.
4	EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	