

Plaintiff by counsel in connection with this civil action, in accordance with 28
 U.S.C. §§ 1920; 2412(d).

After the Court issues an order for EAJA fees to Alisha Bailey, the
government will consider the matter of Alisha Bailey's assignment of EAJA fees to
Young Cho. Pursuant to *Astrue v. Ratliff*, 130 S.Ct. 2521, 2529 (2010), the ability
to honor the assignment will depend on whether the fees are subject to any offset
allowed under the United States Department of the Treasury's Offset Program.
After the order for EAJA fees is entered, the government will determine whether
they are subject to any offset.

10 Fees shall be made payable to Alisha Bailey, but if the Department of the Treasury determines that Alisha Bailey does not owe a federal debt, then the 11 12 government shall cause the payment of fees, expenses and costs to be made directly to Law Offices of Lawrence D. Rohlfing, pursuant to the assignment 13 executed by Alisha Bailey. United States v. \$186,416.00, 722 F.3d 1173, 1176 14 (9th Cir. 2013) (\$186,416.00 II) (ordering fees paid to counsel because of an 15 assignment that did not interfere with a raised superior lien).¹ Any payments made 16 17 shall be delivered to Young Cho.

18

¹ The Commissioner does not stipulate to the citation of \$186,416.00 II, and will
not participate in representing to this Court that it carries legal import in these
proceedings. \$186,416 II involved a different statute and very different factual
circumstances than those presented here, or in other Social Security cases.
Because the parties have agreed to the payment of EAJA fees, and the amount, and
to avoid motion practice solely related to Plaintiff's citation, the Commissioner
agrees to this stipulation. The Commissioner reserves the right to challenge the
applicability of \$186,416 II to any Social Security case, and this Stipulation should
not be construed as a waiver of such reservation.

Alisha Bailey contends that U.S. v. \$186,416.00 in U.S. Currency, 642 F.3d 753,
757 (9th Cir. 2011) (\$186,416.00 I) held that there is no functional difference between the CAFRA and EAJA in terms of "ownership" of the fee. U.S. v. Kim,

1	This stipulation constitutes a compromise settlement of Alisha Bailey's		
2	request for EAJA attorney fees, and does not constitute an admission of liability on		
3	the part of Defendant under the EAJA or otherwise. Payment of the agreed amount		
4	shall constitute a complete release from, and bar to, any and all claims that Alisha		
5	Bailey and/or Young Cho including Law Offices of Lawrence D. Rohlfing may		
6	have relating to EAJA attorney fees in connection with this action.		
7	This award is without prejudice to the rights of Young Cho and/or the Law		
8	Offices of Lawrence D. Rohlfing to seek Social Security Act attorney fees under		
9	42 U.S.C. § 406(b), subject to the savings clause provisions of the EAJA.		
10	DATE: May 9, 2016 Respectfully submitted,		
11	LAW OFFICES OF LAWRENCE D. ROHLFING		
12			
13	BY: Young Cho		
14	Attorney for plaintiff Alisha Bailey		
15	DATE: May 9, 2016 PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
16	Acting United States Attorney		
17	/s/ Theophous H. Reagans		
18			
19	THEOPHOUS H. REAGANS Special Assistant United States Attorney		
20	Attorneys for Defendant Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security		
21	(Per e-mail authorization)		
22			
23			
24			
25	797 F.3d 696, 699 (9th Cir. 2015) holds that the Anti-Assignment Act invalidates an assignment but does not preclude the attorney from gaining an interest in the		
26	fees.		
	3		

1		ORDER	
2	Approved and so ordered:		
3		1 1/11	
4	Dated: May 11, 2016	CRAIG M KELLISON	_
5		CRAIG M KELLISON' UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
		-4-	

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
3	I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over	
4	the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 12631	
5	East Imperial Highway, Suite C-115, Santa Fe Springs, California 90670.	
6	On this day of May 9, 2016, I served the foregoing document described as	
7	STIPULATION FOR THE AWARD AND PAYMENT OF ATTORNEY FEES	
8	AND EXPENSES PURSUANT TO THE EQUAL ACCESS TO JUSTICE ACT,	
9	28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) AND COSTS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1920 on the	
10	interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed	
11	envelope addressed as follows:	
12		
13	P.O. Box 792 Palo Cedro, CA 96073	
14	I caused such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the	
15	United States mail at Santa Fe Springs, California.	
16	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California	
17	that the above is true and correct.	
18	I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of this court at whose	
19	direction the service was made.	
20	Voung Cho	
21	Young Cho /S/ Young Cho TYPE OR PRINT NAME SIGNATURE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		1